



Regime-Dependent Predictability of Extreme Weather Events: Characteristic Event Types

Andrew C. Winters, Daniel Keyser, and Lance F. Bosart

**Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences
University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY 12222**

17th Northeast Regional Operational Workshop

Albany, New York 12222

2–3 November 2016


Project Motivation

- One or several extreme weather events (EWEs) during a single season can contribute disproportionately to temperature and precipitation anomaly statistics for a particular season.
- The disproportionate contribution of EWEs to seasonal temperature and precipitation anomaly statistics suggests that EWEs need to be considered in understanding the dynamical and thermodynamic processes that operate at the weather–climate intersection.
- Consideration of EWEs may improve operational probabilistic temperature and precipitation forecasts in the 8–10 day time range.

Extreme Event Identification


Extreme Warm Events:

- Employed 1-h forecasts of 2-m temperature from the CFSR ($0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$) at 6-h intervals
- Compiled data for each grid point within 21-day windows centered on each analysis time for 36 years, 1979–2014
 - Each grid point has 756 (21×36) data points for each analysis time
- Determined the temperature that corresponds to the **99th percentile** for each grid point at a given analysis time




Frequency distribution of 2-m temperature at 1900 UTC 30 May for a grid point near Albany, NY

Extreme Event Identification




Extreme Event Identification



Extreme Event Identification

Extreme Warm Events:


- Cataloged times during which at least one grid point was characterized by a temperature $> 99^{\text{th}}$ percentile
- Ranked times within each domain by the number of grid points $> 99^{\text{th}}$ percentile
- Identified times that rank in the **top 5%** in terms of the number of grid points $> 99^{\text{th}}$ percentile within each domain as **extreme warm events**



Frequency distribution of times exhibiting at least one grid point $> 99^{\text{th}}$ percentile


Extreme Event Identification

Extreme Warm Event Frequency: Eastern U.S. Domain (n = 304)



Extreme Event Identification

Extreme Warm Event Centroids: Eastern U.S. Domain (n = 304)



Extreme Event Identification


Extreme Warm Event Centroids: Eastern U.S. Domain (n = 304)

Northern Plains Cluster (n=116)

Southern Plains Cluster (n=102)

Eastern U.S. Cluster (n=86)

Considerable North Pacific Jet variability characterizes the antecedent environments associated with events in each geographic cluster



**Antecedent Environments Associated
with Cool-Season (Sept.–May) EWEs
in the Context of North Pacific Jet
Variability**


250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability

- Removed the mean and the annual and diurnal cycles from 6-hourly, 250-hPa zonal wind data from the CFSR (1979–2014)
- Restricted data to the cool season (Sept.–May)
- Performed an EOF analysis on the zonal wind anomalies within the domain: $10\text{--}80^\circ\text{N}$; $100^\circ\text{E}\text{--}120^\circ\text{W}$

Analysis techniques and resultant EOF patterns are consistent with related work on the North Pacific Jet:

- Athanasiadis et al. (2010)
- Jaffe et al. (2011)
- Griffin and Martin (2016)


250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability



Sept.–May mean 250-hPa zonal wind: black contours
Sept.–May 250-hPa zonal wind EOF 1 pattern: shading

+ EOF 1: Jet Extension
– EOF 1: Jet Retraction


250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability



Sept.–May mean 250-hPa zonal wind: black contours
Sept.–May 250-hPa zonal wind EOF 1 pattern: shading

+ EOF 1: Jet Extension
- EOF 1: Jet Retraction

250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability




Sept.–May mean 250-hPa zonal wind: black contours
Sept.–May 250-hPa zonal wind EOF 1 pattern: shading

+ EOF 1: Jet Extension
- EOF 1: Jet Retraction

250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability

EOF 2 – Poleward/Equatorward Shift




-16 -14 -12 -10 -8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 m s⁻¹ (std dev)⁻¹

Sept.–May mean 250-hPa zonal wind: black contours
Sept.–May 250-hPa zonal wind EOF 2 pattern: shading

+ EOF 2: Poleward Shift
- EOF 2: Equatorward Shift


250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability



Sept.–May mean 250-hPa zonal wind: black contours
Sept.–May 250-hPa zonal wind EOF 2 pattern: shading

+ EOF 2: Poleward Shift
- EOF 2: Equatorward Shift




250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability



250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability

0000 UTC 8 November 2014

250-hPa wind speed: shaded



Instantaneous 250-hPa zonal wind anomalies can be projected onto EOF 1 and EOF 2, resulting in a point on a North Pacific Jet phase diagram


250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability

Extreme Warm Event Centroids: Eastern U.S. Domain (n = 304)

Northern Plains Cluster (n=116)

Southern Plains Cluster (n=102)



Eastern U.S. Cluster (n=86)



Projecting antecedent environments associated with extreme warm events onto the North Pacific Jet phase diagram can identify flow patterns conducive to the development of these events

Eastern U.S. – S. Plains Cluster

WARM EVENTS (n = 84)





Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

Eastern U.S. – S. Plains Cluster

WARM EVENTS (n = 84)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram


Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

250-hPa Wind Speed, Geo. Heights, Std. Height Anomalies: Day -10

S. Plains Warm Event – Jet Retraction




Mean Sea-Level Pressure, 1000–500-hPa Thickness, 950-hPa Std. Temp. Anomalies: Day -10




250-hPa Wind Speed, Geo. Heights, Std. Height Anomalies: Day -8

S. Plains Warm Event – Jet Retraction




Mean Sea-Level Pressure, 1000–500-hPa Thickness, 950-hPa Std. Temp. Anomalies: Day -8




250-hPa Wind Speed, Geo. Heights, Std. Height Anomalies: Day -6

S. Plains Warm Event – Jet Retraction




Mean Sea-Level Pressure, 1000–500-hPa Thickness, 950-hPa Std. Temp. Anomalies: Day -6




250-hPa Wind Speed, Geo. Heights, Std. Height Anomalies: Day -4

S. Plains Warm Event – Jet Retraction




Mean Sea-Level Pressure, 1000–500-hPa Thickness, 950-hPa Std. Temp. Anomalies: Day -4




250-hPa Wind Speed, Geo. Heights, Std. Height Anomalies: Day -2

S. Plains Warm Event – Jet Retraction




Mean Sea-Level Pressure, 1000–500-hPa Thickness, 950-hPa Std. Temp. Anomalies: Day -2




250-hPa Wind Speed, Geo. Heights, Std. Height Anomalies: Day 0

S. Plains Warm Event – Jet Retraction




Mean Sea-Level Pressure, 1000–500-hPa Thickness, 950-hPa Std. Temp. Anomalies: Day 0



Eastern U.S. – S. Plains Cluster

WARM EVENTS (n = 84)





Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

Eastern U.S. – S. Plains Cluster

COLD EVENTS (n = 48)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

Eastern U.S. – Eastern Cluster

WARM EVENTS (n = 61)





Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

Eastern U.S. – Eastern Cluster

COLD EVENTS (n = 39)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

Eastern U.S. – All Events

WARM EVENTS (n = 239)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to an event

Eastern U.S. – All Events

COLD EVENTS (n = 173)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to an event

Next Steps

- Demonstrate further the potential of the North Pacific Jet phase diagram to **indicate antecedent environments** favorable for the development of EWEs over the continental U.S.
- Differentiate between antecedent environments conducive to the development of **extreme and non-extreme events**.
- Evaluate the **skill of NCEP GFS and GEFS forecasts** for the identified EWEs and for non-extreme events in the context of the North Pacific Jet phase diagram.
- Develop a **real-time North Pacific Jet phase diagram** and test it during the HMT Medium Range Experiments at WPC this winter.

Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram



9-day probabilistic forecast trajectory within the North Pacific Jet phase diagram

- Probabilistic, GFS deterministic, and GEFS ensemble forecasts
- Complementary analyses/forecasts of 250-hPa wind speed/geo. heights over the North Pacific and North America
- Complementary analyses/forecasts of 850-hPa temperature anomalies and 24-h accumulated precipitation over the North Pacific and North America

URL: www.atmos.albany.edu/facstaff/awinters/realtime/Deterministic_NPJPD.php

Supplementary Slides

References

- Athanasiadis, P. J., J. M. Wallace, and J. J. Wettstein, 2010: Patterns of wintertime jet stream variability and their relation to the storm tracks. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **67**, 1361–1381.
- Griffin, K. S., and J. E. Martin, 2016: Synoptic features associated with temporally coherent modes of variability of the North Pacific jet stream. *J. Climate*, **29**, in press.
- Jaffe, S. C., J. E. Martin, D. J. Vimont, and D. L. Lorenz, 2011: A synoptic climatology of episodic, subseasonal retractions of the Pacific jet. *J. Climate*, **24**, 2846–2860.

Extreme Event Identification

Extreme Precip. Events:

- Employed CPC Unified Gauge-Based Analysis of Daily Precipitation over CONUS during 1979–2014 ($0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$)
- Compiled data within 21-day windows centered on each time for all 36 years
 - Each grid point has (21×36) 756 data points for a given time
- Determined the precipitation values that correspond to the 99th percentile for each grid point at a given time (only for days precipitation was observed)
- Identified times that rank in the **top 5%** in terms of the number of grid points $> 99^{\text{th}}$ percentile within each domain as **extreme precipitation events**

Extreme Event Identification

Temperature

Eastern U.S. (**1st % Cold**):

- Threshold: 221 grid points
~7.0°×7.0° box
- After QC: 226 events

Eastern U.S. (**99th % Warm**):

- Threshold: 224 grid points
~7.0°×7.0° box
- After QC: 304 events

Western U.S. (**1st % Cold**):

- Threshold: 125 grid points
~5.0°×5.0° box
- After QC: 271 events

Western U.S. (**99th % Warm**):

- Threshold: 144 grid points
~5.5°×5.5° box
- After QC: 264 events

Precipitation

Eastern U.S. (**99th %**):

- Threshold: 211 grid points
~3.5°×3.5° box
- After QC: 351 events


Western U.S. (**99th %**):

- Threshold: 141 grid points
~2.75°×2.75° box
- After QC: 333 events


**Quality control: Events within 24-h
of another event were considered
to be the same event.**

Geographic Event Clusters

Extreme Warm Event Centroids East of the Rockies





Geographic Event Clusters



250-hPa North Pacific Zonal Wind Variability

0000 UTC 8 November 2014

250-hPa wind speed: shaded




Instantaneous 250-hPa zonal wind anomalies can be projected onto EOF 1 and EOF 2, resulting in a point on a North Pacific Jet phase diagram

Notes on North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

- Each point on the phase diagram is a weighted average of the principal components within ± 1 day of the time under consideration

Example: 0000 UTC 8 November 2014






Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

1. Characterizes the past evolution and present state of the upper-tropospheric flow pattern over the North Pacific.
 - Captures regime transitions
 - Identifies flow patterns conducive to the development of EWEs
2. Characterizes the forecasted evolution of the upper-tropospheric flow pattern over the North Pacific.

Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

0000 UTC 8 November 2014



250-hPa wind speed: shaded



Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

0000 UTC 10 November 2014



250-hPa wind speed: shaded



Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

0000 UTC 12 November 2014



250-hPa wind speed: shaded



Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

0000 UTC 14 November 2014



250-hPa wind speed: shaded



Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

0000 UTC 16 November 2014




250-hPa wind speed: shaded



Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

0000 UTC 18 November 2014


250-hPa wind speed: shaded




Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram

16–19 November 2014 Composite Anomalies

500-hPa Geo. Height (m)





Surface Temperature (°C)



E. Rockies – S. Plains Cluster

COLD EVENTS (n = 48)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days
prior to the event

Western U.S. – Pac. NW Cluster

WARM EVENTS (n = 89)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

Western U.S. – Pac. NW Cluster

COLD EVENTS (n = 78)




Events during
Sept. – May
projected onto
phase diagram

Each point is an
average of the
PCs for
3–7 days prior
to the event

Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram



GEFS Ensemble Trajectories Initialized at 0000 UTC 24 May 2016



Real-Time North Pacific Jet Phase Diagram


250-hPa zonal wind at 0000 UTC 2 Jun minus 250-hPa zonal wind at 0000 UTC 24 May (shading) in the GFS analyses shows the transition to a poleward-shifted jet regime

- ★ 0000 UTC 24 May (0-h forecast)
- ★ 0000 UTC 2 Jun (verification)
- Ensemble mean



Sept.–May mean 250-hPa zonal wind: black contours


GEFS Ensemble Trajectories Initialized 0000 UTC 24 May 2016




★ 0000 UTC 2 Jun (verification)

250-hPa zonal wind anomalies at 0000 UTC 2 Jun project strongly onto EOF2 > 0

250-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies and EOF1: 0000 UTC 2 Jun




250-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies and EOF2: 0000 UTC 2 Jun



Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

NPJ Phase Diagram valid 0000 UTC 25 Aug 2016




GEFS forecast probability of the verifying analysis occurring within a radius of 0.25 of a point during the upcoming 9-day period.

Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products


NPJ Phase Diagram valid 0000 UTC 25 Aug 2016

GFS
deterministic
and GEFS
ensemble
mean forecast
trajectories
with the GFS
analysis over
the previous
10-day period.




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

1-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

2-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

3-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

4-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

5-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

6-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

7-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast




Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

8-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast



Real-time NPJ Phase Diagram Products

9-day forecast
of GEFS
ensemble
members, GEFS
ensemble
mean, and 0-h
forecast



Candidate Verification Metrics

- **Forecast Error**

- Distance between GFS deterministic forecast and the analysis at each forecast hour
- Distance between the GEFS ensemble mean forecast and the analysis at each forecast hour
- Average distance between ensemble members and the analysis at each forecast hour


- **Probability of Detection**

- Did the analysis fall within the ensemble envelope at each forecast hour?

Candidate Verification Metrics


- **Reliability Diagram**

- Could a reliability diagram be used to evaluate the performance of GEFS ensemble forecasts with respect to the NPJ Phase Diagram?



- **ROC**

- Could a ROC be an alternative metric to evaluate the performance of GEFS ensemble forecasts with respect to the NPJ Phase Diagram?



Next Steps

- **EWE Predictability**

- What is the predictability of EWEs in the GEFS reforecast dataset with respect to the NPJ Phase Diagram?

- **General Predictability**

- What is the predictability of all GEFS ensemble reforecasts with respect to the NPJ Phase Diagram?

Project Outcomes

- Provide forecasters with a **“first alert”** to the possibility of the occurrence of extreme temperature and precipitation events during week two on the basis of current conditions and model forecasts.
- Provide forecasters with an indication of the **character and flavor** of possible extreme events as inferred from where the events lie in the frequency distributions of the anticipated event types.
- Provide forecasters with knowledge that allows them to make **science-based adjustments** to model guidance and add value to week two forecasts of temperature and precipitation.