

ATM 500: Atmospheric Dynamics

Homework 5

Due Thursday October 12 2017

1.
 - a. A kicker misses a game-winning field goal and blames the Coriolis force. He kicks the football a horizontal distance of 50 m in 5 s. The football field is at latitude 45°N . If he misses the right upright goalpost by 0.1 m, is his claim valid?
 - b. At what speed would the kicker have to kick the football in order to actually miss the upright by 0.1 m due to the Coriolis force?

To answer these questions, suppose the Coriolis force is the only force acting on the ball.

2. Consider again motion under Coriolis force alone (e.g. a ball on a rotating parabolic turntable, or a fluid parcel on a quasi-horizontal geopotential surface in the absence of pressure gradients). Show that a parcel can move in a steady circular path, completing exactly 2 circles for every 1 rotation of the system. State clearly any assumptions you are making.

(This is a kind of motion known as inertial circles, which we can easily observe on the turntable, and is sometimes observed in the ocean with freely drifting buoys.)

3. In Homework 3 you looked at the *total energy* budget (kinetic plus internal plus potential) per unit volume for an inviscid, adiabatic, compressible fluid, and you worked out the details of the derivation in section 1.10.2 of Vallis.
 - a. Thinking about the energetics of a fluid in the *rotating frame*, show that the Coriolis force cannot change the kinetic energy of a fluid parcel.
 - b. When we derived the *primitive equations* we threw away some terms that might affect the total energy budget. So we would like to verify what, if any, form of energy is conserved in a fluid obeying the primitive equations. Answer question 2.13 in Vallis:

Show that the inviscid, adiabatic, hydrostatic primitive equations for a compressible fluid conserve a form of energy (kinetic plus potential plus internal), and that the kinetic energy has no contribution from the vertical velocity. You may assume Cartesian geometry and a uniform gravitational field in the vertical direction.

Last question on the other side of the page!

4. Consider a layer of fluid between two solid boundaries at $z = 0$ and $z = H$ (an arbitrary height, not necessarily the scale height!). Suppose that the flow is horizontally convergent (i.e. $\nabla_z \cdot \vec{u} < 0$) in some thin layer near the lower boundary, and horizontally divergent in a thin layer near the upper boundary. Assume that the horizontal flow is non-divergent elsewhere. What can you infer from the continuity equation about the vertical structure of vertical motion $w(z)$?
- Assume a Boussinesq fluid. Be as quantitative as you can, and draw a sketch of $w(z)$.
 - Now calculate and sketch $w(z)$ for an anelastic fluid. For the reference profile $\tilde{\rho}(z)$ assume an *isothermal ideal gas*.
 - Give a physical explanation (in words) for the differences between your two sketches.