

Petrology, Petrogenesis, and Tectonic setting  
of Jurassic rocks of the Central Cascades,  
Washington, and Western Klamath Mountains,  
California-Oregon

by

James H. MacDonald, Jr.

A Dissertation

Submitted to the University at Albany, State University of New York  
in Partial Fulfillment of  
the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

College of Arts & Sciences  
Department of Earth and Atmospheric Science  
2006

## ABSTRACT

This dissertation consists of four independent yet related projects: 1) the petrology, geochemistry, and original tectonic setting of the Galice Formation, Klamath Mountains, Oregon-California; 2) the geochemistry, tectonic setting, and possible regional correlations of the Iron Mountain and Esmeralda Peaks units of the Ingalls ophiolite complex, central Cascades, Washington; 3) the provenance and original tectonic setting of sedimentary serpentinites and ophiolite breccias within the sedimentary rocks of the Ingalls ophiolite complex; and 4) geology, tectonics, and possible regional correlations of pre-Cenozoic rocks, central Cascades, Washington.

This research indicates that the Galice Formation represents continuous Late Jurassic deposition (Oxfordian-Kimmeridgian), within the Josephine backarc basin. Source areas for the Galice Formation included active Jurassic arcs, older Klamath terranes, and the North American craton.

The Early Jurassic Iron Mountain unit of the Ingalls ophiolite complex originated as a seamount within close proximity to an oceanic spreading ridge. The Late Jurassic Esmeralda Peaks unit of the Ingalls ophiolite complex originated in a backarc basin that included a fracture zone. The Iron Mountain unit is the rifted basement of the Esmeralda Peaks unit, and both units correlate to similar rocks within the Klamath Mountains.

Cr-spinel compositions, geochemistry, and petrography indicate that sedimentary serpentinites and ophiolite breccias within the Ingalls sedimentary rocks were locally derived. These rocks were originally deposited in a Late Jurassic fracture zone.

The Manastash inlier consists of the Hereford Meadow amphibolite, Lookout Mountain Formation, Quartz Mountain stock, and Helena-Haystack mélange. Hereford

Meadow amphibolite is, in part, a dismembered pre-Jurassic ophiolite that originated in a supra-subduction zone. The Lookout Mountain Formation is Late Jurassic in age, had cratonic sources, and was originally located in the Klamath Mountains, Oregon-California. The Quartz Mountain stock is Late Jurassic in age, and the roots of an arc. The Helena-Haystack mélange is a major suture between Cascade terranes, and suggests that ~98 km of displacement has occurred along the Straight Creek fault.

The De Roux unit consists of metaigneous and metasedimentary rocks. Metaigneous rocks have calc-alkaline, within-plate, and mid-ocean ridge basalt affinities. The De Roux unit correlates with other Cascade mélanges.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Without the following, none of this would have been possible: Greg Harper, Bob Miller, Jonathan Miller, Bill Kidd, Marty Rutstein, R. H. Waines, Sally Marsh, Vince Idone, NSF, GSA, USGS, DEAS, Sigma Xi, FRAP, Diane Johnson Cornelius, Charles Knaack, Lori Suskin, punk rock, and my Mom. I would also like to thank, in no order, Mikki-Jo, Mike, Jon, Jacques, Marc, Betsy, Sam, Kevin, Brian, Vollmer, Manos, Brenner, Mary, Ante, Cindy, Ron, Adam, Jen, Tristan, Liz, Chuck, Beth, Jason, Stephan, Jim Henson, Johnny Mac, Bin, Barb, Matt, Lucas, Alexa, James, Josh, George Lucas, Mandy, my family, Diana, Sharon, Allison, Audrey, Antinous, Lynn, Kermit, Arnason, Delano, John Garver, Ned Brown, Steph, every one at the SUMAC SHRIMP-RG, Bill Blackburn, David Wark, Union College, San Jose State University, and every friend I ever had. I would also like to thank every person that had the misfortune of being my educator or my student. They all contributed, in some fashion, to the person that I am today.

*"Rugged peaks of greenstone occur about the southern border of the Wenatchee Mountains, in intimate association with serpentine; some of these masses are 2 miles or more in diameter, while others are isolated peaks and crests but a few rods in circumference. The structure is here highly complex, and it is evident that the greenstones have been greatly broken and displaced..."*

I. C. Russell, 1900

*"The Peshastin and Hawkins formations are intricately mingled in some of the areas, making separation difficult in some cases and impossible, as far as mapping is considered, in others..."*

G. O. Smith, 1904

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER ONE.....</b>	1
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	1
<b>CHAPTER TWO</b>	
<b>PETROLOGY, GEOCHEMISTRY, AND PROVENANCE OF THE GALICE</b>	
<b>FORMATION, KLAMATH MOUNTAINS, OREGON-</b>	
<b>CALIFORNIA.....</b>	5
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	5
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	6
<b>GALICE FORMATION.....</b>	12
Sedimentary rocks within the Josephine ophiolite.....	17
Hemipelagic sequence.....	18
Transition zone.....	27
Turbidite of the Galice Formation.....	29
<b>PALEOFLOW DATA.....</b>	30
<b>DETRITAL MODES.....</b>	33
<b>HEAVY MINERALS.....</b>	41
Occurrence.....	41
Cr-spinel.....	42
<b>AGE OF SOURCE AREAS.....</b>	50
<b>GEOCHEMISTRY.....</b>	52
<b>DISCUSSION.....</b>	67
<b>Provenance.....</b>	67
Regional variations.....	73

Temporal variations and tectonic implications.....	76
Tectonic setting of deposition.....	76
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>CHAPTER THREE</b>	
<b>GEOCHEMISTRY AND GEOLOGY OF THE IRON MOUNTAIN UNIT, INGALLS OPHIOLITE COMPLEX, WASHINGTON: EVIDENCE FOR THE POLYGENETIC NATURE OF THE INGALLS.....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>INGALLS OPHIOLITE COMPLEX.....</b>	<b>85</b>
Mantle peridotites.....	85
Ingalls sedimentary rocks.....	90
Esmeralda Peaks unit.....	90
Iron Mountain unit.....	93
<i>Lithologies.....</i>	98
<i>Geochemistry.....</i>	100
<i>Geochronology.....</i>	110
<b>DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>CHAPTER FOUR</b>	
<b>THE INGALLS OPHIOLITE COMPLEX, CENTRAL CASCADES, WASHINGTON: GEOCHEMISTRY, TECTONIC SETTING AND REGIONAL CORRELATIONS.....</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>124</b>
INGALLS OPHIOLITE COMPLEX.....	128

<b>Mantle units</b>	134
<b>Iron Mountain unit</b>	139
<b>Esmeralda Peaks unit</b>	140
<b>Lithologies</b>	150
<b>Petrography</b>	151
<b>Geochemistry</b>	152
<b>Geochronology</b>	161
<b>Amphibolite and dikes</b>	164
<b>Dikes cutting mylonitic peridotite</b>	168
<b>Ingalls sedimentary rocks</b>	170
<b>Petrography</b>	170
<b>Depositional age</b>	171
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	172
<b>Tectonic setting of the Iron Mountain unit</b>	172
<b>Tectonic setting of the Esmeralda Peaks unit</b>	172
<i>Backarc basin setting of the Esmeralda Peaks unit</i>	172
<i>Esmeralda Peaks boninites</i>	173
<i>Geochemical correlation of mafic units</i>	175
<i>Fracture zone setting for the Late Jurassic Esmeralda Peaks unit</i>	175
<b>Polygenetic origin of the Ingalls ophiolite complex</b>	176
<b>Regional correlation of the Ingalls ophiolite complex</b>	179
<i>Comparison of sedimentary rocks</i>	179
<i>Time relations of ophiolites</i>	182

<i>Comparison of geochemical affinities</i> .....	183
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	186
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	188
<b>CHAPTER FIVE</b>	
<b>SEDIMENTARY SERPENTINITES AND OPHIOLITE BRECCIAS OF THE INGALLS OPHIOLITE COMPLEX: FURTHER EVIDENCE OF A LATE JURASSIC FRACTURE ZONE SETTING</b> .....	190
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	190
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	191
<b>INGALLS OPHIOLITE COMPLEX</b> .....	192
<b>Mantle units</b> .....	195
<b>Iron Mountain unit</b> .....	199
<b>Esmeralda Peaks unit</b> .....	199
<b>Ingalls sedimentary rocks</b> .....	200
<b>Sedimentary serpentinites</b> .....	201
<i>Detrital spinel compositions</i> .....	211
<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	224
<b>INTERPRETATIONS</b> .....	228
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	229
<b>CHAPTER SIX</b>	
<b>PETROGENESIS, AGE, TECTONIC EVOLUTION, AND POSSIBLE REGIONAL CORRELATIONS OF PRE-CENOZOIC ROCKS WITHIN THE CENTRAL CASCADES, WASHINGTON: IMPORTANCE OF THE MANASTASH INLIER AND DE ROUX UNIT</b> .....	230
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	230
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	231

<b>REGIONAL WASHINGTON GEOLOGY WEST OF THE STRAIGHT CREEK</b>	
<b>FAULT.....</b>	237
<b>Northwest Cascade System.....</b>	237
<b>The western and eastern mélange belts.....</b>	244
<b>Helena-Haystack mélange.....</b>	245
<b>PRE-CENOZOIC UNITS EAST OF THE STRAIGHT CREEK FAULT.....</b>	246
<b>Hicks Butte inlier.....</b>	246
<b>Rimrock Lake inlier.....</b>	250
<b>Manastash inlier.....</b>	251
<b>HEREFORD MEADOW AMPHIBOLITE.....</b>	253
<b>Geochemistry of the Hereford Meadow amphibolite.....</b>	257
<b><i>Amphibolite geochemistry.....</i></b>	258
<b><i>Orthogneiss geochemistry.....</i></b>	267
<b>LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN FORMATION.....</b>	270
<b>Detrital zircon geochronology of the Lookout Mountain Formation.....</b>	272
<b>IGNEOUS INTRUSIONS OF UNKNOWN AGE.....</b>	283
<b>QUARTZ MOUNTAIN STOCK.....</b>	284
<b>Geochronology of the Quartz Mountain stock.....</b>	285
<b>Geochemistry of the Quartz Mountain stock.....</b>	287
<b>HELENA-HAYSTACK MELANGE OF THE MANASTASH INLIER.....</b>	298
<b>Age of mélange formation.....</b>	302
<b>Geochemistry of tectonic blocks within the Helena-Haystack mélange of the</b>	
<b>Manastash inlier.....</b>	302

<b>DARRINGTON PHYLLITE OF THE EASTON METAMORPHIC SUITE.....</b>	313
<b>DE ROUX UNIT.....</b>	313
<b>Geochemistry of De Roux unit metavolcanic rocks.....</b>	318
<b>DISCUSSION.....</b>	321
<b>Hereford Meadow amphibolite.....</b>	321
<b>Orthogneiss from the Hereford Meadow amphibolite.....</b>	323
<b>Lookout Mountain Formation.....</b>	323
<b>Quartz Mountain stock.....</b>	334
<b>De Roux unit.....</b>	339
<b>Tectonic Implications.....</b>	340
<b>CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	342
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....</b>	344
<b>APPENDIX A ANALYTICAL METHODS.....</b>	345
<b>APPENDIX B OUTCROP MAP OF IRON MOUNTAIN AREA.....</b>	347
<b>APPENDIX C OUTCROP MAP OF ESMERALDA PEAKS AREA.....</b>	348
<b>APPENDIX D OUTCROP MAP OF QUARTZ MOUNTAIN AREA.....</b>	349
<b>APPENDIX E OUTCROP MAP OF DE ROUX CREEK AREA.....</b>	350
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	351

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure 1.</b> Generalized geologic map of western Klamath terrane, including Galice Formation.....	8
<b>Figure 2.</b> Sedimentary sequence overlying ~162 Ma Josephine ophiolite.....	10
<b>Figure 3.</b> Drill core sample from the Turner-Albright mine showing siliceous argillite that is interbedded with lavas of the Josephine ophiolite.....	16
<b>Figure 4.</b> Photomicrographs of Galice Formation sandstones.....	20
<b>Figure 5.</b> Plot illustrating apparent mixing relationships for sediments within Josephine ophiolite, hemipelagic sequence, and Galice Formation.....	23
<b>Figure 6.</b> Graded bed from hemipelagic sequence of the Galice Formation.....	26
<b>Figure 7.</b> Rose diagrams displaying paleoflow current directions.....	32
<b>Figure 8.</b> Triangular plots of point-count data for sandstones from Galice Formation....	37
<b>Figure 9.</b> Conglomerate point count data for the Galice Formation and the Mariposa Formation in the central Sierran foothills illustrating provenance differences.....	40
<b>Figure 10.</b> $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ verses $\text{TiO}_2$ diagram showing detrital Cr-spinels from an intra-pillow sandstone in the Josephine ophiolite and a turbidite sandstone of the Galice Formation..	47
<b>Figure 11.</b> $\text{Cr}/(\text{Cr}+\text{Al})$ versus $\text{Mg}/(\text{Mg}+\text{Fe}^{2+})$ diagram for detrital Cr-spinels from a sandstone within the pillow lavas of the Josephine ophiolite and a sandstone from the basal turbidite sequence overlying the ophiolite.....	49
<b>Figure 12.</b> Provenance discriminant-function diagram of Roser and Korsch (1988)....	54
<b>Figure 13.</b> $\text{Th}/\text{Sc}$ versus $\text{Zr}/\text{Sc}$ plot modified from McLennan et al.....	61
<b>Figure 14.</b> Post-Archean Australian shale composite (PAAS) normalized diagrams....	62
<b>Figure 15.</b> Tectonic model for the generation and emplacement of the Galice Formation and the underlying Josephine ophiolite.....	75

<b>Figure 16.</b> Location of Middle to Late Jurassic ophiolites of the North America Cordillera.....	84
<b>Figure 17.</b> Map of the Ingalls ophiolite complex and surrounding units.....	87
<b>Figure 18.</b> Geologic map of the southeastern part of the Ingalls Ophiolite Complex.....	89
<b>Figure 19.</b> Stratigraphic section (synthesized from map; not measured) of Sheep Mountain, Iron Mountain, Negro creek and west of Negro creek.....	92
<b>Figure 20.</b> Chondrite-, MORB-normalized diagram.....	95
<b>Figure 21.</b> Th/Yb-Ta/Yb discriminant diagram (Pearce, 1982) for Iron Mountain basalts and rhyolite and a shaded field and a labeled boninite for the Esmeralda Peaks unit.....	97
<b>Figure 22.</b> Photomicrograph of oolites in limestone within the Iron Mountain unit....	102
<b>Figure 23.</b> Ti-Zr-Y discriminant diagram for Iron Mountain unit.....	107
<b>Figure 24.</b> Ti-V discriminant diagram for Iron Mountain unit.....	109
<b>Figure 25.</b> Concordia diagram for a rhyolite (sample BL-150) from the Iron Mountain unit.....	112
<b>Figure 26.</b> Tectonic diagram for the Ingalls Ophiolite Complex.....	118
<b>Figure 27.</b> Location of the Middle to Late Jurassic North American Cordilleran ophiolites and the older Rattlesnake Creek terrane.....	127
<b>Figure 28.</b> Map of the Ingalls ophiolite complex and surrounding units outlining major structures.....	130
<b>Figure 29.</b> Map showing the mafic units of the Ingalls ophiolite complex and the unrelated De Roux unit.....	132
<b>Figure 30.</b> Geologic map of the Esmeralda Peaks and Longs Pass area of the Ingalls ophiolite complex.....	136

<b>Figure 31.</b> Ti-V basalt discriminant diagram for the Esmeralda Peaks unit.....	142
<b>Figure 32.</b> Th/Yb-Ta/Yb diagram for Esmeralda Peaks unit.....	144
<b>Figure 33.</b> Chondrite- & N-MORB-normalized diagrams for Esmeralda Peaks unit...	146
<b>Figure 34.</b> Cr-Y discriminant diagram for the Esmeralda Peaks unit.....	157
<b>Figure 35.</b> Cr-Yb diagram for melt and residue compositions for the Esmeralda Peaks unit.....	159
<b>Figure 36.</b> Fertile-MORB-mantle-normalized diagram.....	163
<b>Figure 37.</b> Field photos of dikes cutting amphibolite.....	166
<b>Figure 38.</b> Tectonostratigraphy of the Ingalls ophiolite complex, Devils Elbow remnant of the Josephine ophiolite and underlying Rattlesnake Creek terrane (RCT), and the Josephine ophiolite.....	178
<b>Figure 39.</b> Ta-Hf-Th discriminant diagram for Jurassic Cordilleran ophiolites and their basement.....	185
<b>Figure 40.</b> Map showing the Ingalls ophiolite complex, the De Roux unit, and surrounding geology and the locations of the sedimentary serpentinite localities.....	194
<b>Figure 41.</b> Cr/(Cr+Al) versus Mg/(Mg+Fe <sup>2+</sup> ) diagram for detrital Cr-spinels from three sedimentary serpentinites of the Ingalls ophiolite complex.....	198
<b>Figure 42.</b> Photographs of Gabbro olistolith.....	203
<b>Figure 43.</b> Ti/V and Ta-Hf-Th diagram for mafic breccia clasts.....	205
<b>Figure 44.</b> Photographs of sedimentary serpentinite thin sections.....	207
<b>Figure 45.</b> Photo of graded serpentinite sandstone.....	209
<b>Figure 46.</b> Annotated photo looking north from Navaho Divide.....	213

<b>Figure 47.</b> Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> verses TiO <sub>2</sub> diagram showing detrital Cr-spinels from three sedimentary serpentinites of the Ingalls ophiolite complex.....	218
<b>Figure 48.</b> Backscatter electron image of a well zoned detrital spinel from sample EL-89-1.....	221
<b>Figure 49.</b> Al-Cr-Fe <sup>3+</sup> diagram from Burkhard (1993) for detrital spinels from Ingalls sedimentary serpentinites.....	223
<b>Figure 50.</b> Tectonic model for the formation of the ophiolitic breccia and sedimentary serpentinite in a Late Jurassic fracture zone setting.....	226
<b>Figure 51.</b> Simplified geologic map showing the tectonic elements of the central and northwest Cascades.....	233
<b>Figure 52.</b> Simplified geologic map displaying the tectonic elements east of the Straight Creek fault and south of the Cascade Crystalline Core.....	236
<b>Figure 53.</b> Location of the Ingalls ophiolite complex, inliers east of the Straight Creek fault, Blue Mountain Provance, Klamath Mountains, and Northern Sierra terrane.....	239
<b>Figure 54.</b> Structural stacking of terranes within the northwest Cascades, Washington State.....	241
<b>Figure 55.</b> Detailed geologic map of the Hick Butte and Manastash inliers.....	248
<b>Figure 56.</b> Th/Yb-Ta/Yb discrimination diagram for Hereford Meadow samples.....	260
<b>Figure 57.</b> Chondrite- and N-MORB-normalized diagrams for Hereford Meadow amphibolite.....	262
<b>Figure 58.</b> Ti-V basalt discriminant diagram for Hereford Meadow amphibolite.....	264
<b>Figure 59.</b> Cr-Y basalt discriminant diagram for Hereford Meadow amphibolite.....	266
<b>Figure 60.</b> Zr vs. TiO <sub>2</sub> diagram.....	269

<b>Figure 61.</b> Concordia diagrams for Lookout Mountain Formation detrital zircons.....	276
<b>Figure 62.</b> Age histograms and probability density distributions for detrital zircons from the Lookout Mountain Formation.....	279
<b>Figure 63.</b> Age histograms and probability density distributions for the youngest (n = 43) detrital zircons from the Lookout Mountain Formation.....	281
<b>Figure 64.</b> Concordia diagram and Average mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age for the Quartz Mountain stock.....	289
<b>Figure 65.</b> Major element diagrams for the Quartz Mountain stock.....	291
<b>Figure 66.</b> Granitic tectonic discrimination diagrams for the Quartz Mountain stock..	295
<b>Figure 67.</b> Th/Yb-Ta/Yb discrimination diagram for Quartz Mountain stock samples.	297
<b>Figure 68.</b> Ti-Zr-Y basalt discriminant diagram for Helena-Haystack mélange and De Roux unit.....	305
<b>Figure 69.</b> Ti-V discriminant diagram for Helena-Haystack mélange and De Roux unit.....	307
<b>Figure 70.</b> Th/Yb-Ta/Yb discrimination diagram for Helena-Haystack mélange and De Roux unit samples.....	309
<b>Figure 71.</b> Chondrite- and N-MORB-normalized diagrams Helena-Haystack mélange.....	312
<b>Figure 72.</b> Geologic map of the type area of the De Roux unit.....	315
<b>Figure 73.</b> Schematic geologic map showing the study area in relation to first-order Precambrian basement provinces of western North America.....	333
<b>Helena-Haystack mélange of the Manastash inlier.....</b>	336

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.</b> Cr-spinels from Galice Formation sandstones.....	42-43
<b>Table 2.</b> Selected analyses of Galice Formation samples.....	56-58
<b>Table 3.</b> Analyses of Iron Mountain unit basalts and rhyolite.....	103-104
<b>Table 4.</b> U/Pb data from a rhyolite from the Iron Mountain unit.....	113
<b>Table 5.</b> Early Jurassic radiolarian ages from the Iron Mountain unit.....	115
<b>Table 6.</b> Representative petrography of Ingalls ophiolite complex samples.....	137
<b>Table 7.</b> Representative analyses from the Iron Mountain unit.....	147- 148
<b>Table 8.</b> Jurassic radiolarian ages from the Ingalls ophiolite complex.....	149
<b>Table 9.</b> Analyses of the Esmeralda Peaks unit.....	153-154
<b>Table 10.</b> Analyses of Esmeralda Peaks unit gabbros.....	160
<b>Table 11.</b> Analyses of amphibolite and dikes that cut them from the Ingalls ophiolite complex.....	167
<b>Table 12.</b> Analyses of samples that cut mylonitic peridotite.....	169
<b>Table 13.</b> Analyses of ophiolite derived breccia clasts.....	209
<b>Table 14.</b> Detrital Cr-spinel analyses (BL-139-1).....	214
<b>Table 15.</b> Detrital Cr-spinel analyses (BL-216-1).....	214
<b>Table 16.</b> Detrital Cr-spinel analyses (EL-89-1).....	215
<b>Table 17.</b> Analyses of Hereford Meadow amphibolite samples.....	255-256
<b>Table 18.</b> SHRIMP analyses of detrital zircons from the Lookout Mountain Formation.....	273

<b>Table 19.</b> Ages of detrital zircons from the Lookout Mountain Formation.....	282
<b>Table 20.</b> SHRIMP analyses of zircons from a Quartz Mountain stock sample.....	286
<b>Table 21.</b> Analyses of Quartz Mountain stock samples.....	292
<b>Table 22.</b> Analyses of Helena-Haystack mélange samples.....	300-301
<b>Table 23.</b> Analyses of De Roux unit samples.....	319-320