



- Arctic from lower latitudes (e.g., Crawford and Serreze 2016).
- respective high-amplitude troughs.
- 7 June in the ERA5.
- and AC2.

- utilized ERA5 as verification.
- based on sea level pressure (SLP) from Crawford and Serreze (2016).
- 1200 UTC 7 June for AC2.
- selected model state variables at earlier times.
- The sensitivity of a forecast metric of interest (J) to a model state variable at location i  $(x_i)$  at an earlier time is given by the equation to the right, where cov denotes the covariance and var denotes the variance.

$\partial J$		CC
$\partial x_i$	_	l

- have units of the forecast metric per standard deviation of the state variable.
- is shown in section 6.



This research was supported by Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-18-1-2200



# **Poster number: 625**

# 6) Ensemble Sensitivity Analysis



Figure 6. Sensitivity of J<sub>AC1</sub> valid at 0000 UTC 4 June (108 h) to the (a) 36-, (b) 60-, and (c) 84-h 300-hPa geopotential height (shading, hPa), for forecast initialized at 1200 UTC 30 May. White stippling indicates sensitivity is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. Black contours denote ensemble-mean 300-hPa geopotential height (dam). (d),(e),(f) As in (a),(b),(c), but for 300-hPa relative vorticity (averaged within 300 km of each grid point; units for mean:  $10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>). (g),(h),(i) As in (a),(b),(c), but for SLP (units for mean: hPa). Black dot represents ERA5 position of AC1 at 0000 UTC 4 June and black circle represents domain in which J<sub>AC1</sub> was calculated. Sensitivity was multiplied by -1 such that positive values indicate that increasing the value of the state variable (e.g., geopotential height) at the time of interest correlates with a lowering of J<sub>AC1</sub> (i.e., a lowering of the average SLP in the circle) at 0000 UTC 4 June and negative values indicate that decreasing the value of the state variable at the time of interest correlates with a lowering of J<sub>AC1</sub> (i.e., a lowering of the average SLP in the circle) at 0000 UTC 4 June. Arrows point to the ensemble mean positions of a PC and AC1.



Figure 7. Sensitivity of J<sub>AC2</sub> valid at 1200 UTC 7 June (120 h) to the (a) 48-, (b) 72-, and (c) 96-h 300-hPa geopotential height (shading, hPa), for forecast initialized at 1200 UTC 2 June. White stippling indicates sensitivity is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. Black contours denote ensemble-mean 300-hPa geopotential height (dam). (d),(e),(f) As in (a),(b),(c), but for 300-hPa relative vorticity (averaged within 300 km of each grid point; units for mean:  $10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>). (g),(h),(i) As in (a),(b),(c), but for SLP (units for mean: hPa). Sensitivity was multiplied by -1 as described in Fig. 6. Black dot and circle are as described in Fig. 6, but for AC2 at 1200 UTC 7 June.