# A Predictability Study of a Polar Low Linked to a Tropopause Polar Vortex

### Kevin A. Biernat<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Keyser<sup>1</sup>, Lance F. Bosart<sup>1</sup>, and Steven M. Cavallo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences University at Albany, State University of New York

<sup>2</sup>University of Oklahoma

32nd AMS Conference on Climate Variability and Change Tuesday 8 January 2019

Research Supported by ONR Grant N00014-18-1-2200

# What are Tropopause Polar Vortices (TPVs)?

 TPVs are defined as tropopause-based vortices of highlatitude origin and are material features (Pyle et al. 2004; Cavallo and Hakim 2009, 2010, 2012, 2013)



(left) Dynamic tropopause (DT) wind speed (every 15 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 50 m s<sup>-1</sup>, thick contours) and DT potential temperature (K, thin contours and shading) on 1.5-PVU surface valid at 0000 UTC 1 Dec 1991; (right) same as left except DT pressure (hPa, thin contours and shading). Adapted from Fig. 11 in Pyle et al. (2004).

# What are Polar Lows?

- Polar lows are small, intense cyclones characterized by short horizontal scales and lifetimes (e.g., Rasmussen and Turner 2003)
- Polar lows often form within, or at the leading edge of, a cold air mass moving over warmer sea surfaces in high latitudes (e.g., Shapiro et al. 1987)

# **Motivation**

- Polar lows may be associated with strong surface winds and heavy precipitation, posing hazards to ships and infrastructure (e.g., Businger and Reed 1989)
- TPVs may act as precursors for the development of polar lows (e.g., Kolstad 2011)

- Analyze the evolution of a polar low linked to a TPV
- Investigate factors influencing the predictability of the evolution of the polar low

# **Climatology of Polar Lows**

- Obtain polar lows from Sea Surface Temperature and Altimeter Synergy for Improved Forecasting of Polar lows (STARS) database of polar lows over the Norwegian and Barents Seas (Sætra et al. 2010)
  - STARS database covers the 2002–2011 period, for a total of 140 polar lows

# **Climatology of Polar Lows Linked to TPVs**

- Compare STARS database with a 1979–2015 database of TPVs constructed using the ERA-Interim (Dee et al. 2011) and an objective TPV tracking algorithm (Szapiro and Cavallo 2018)
- Determine which polar lows may be linked to TPVs by requiring that a polar low be located within 500 km of at least one TPV at any point in the lifetime of the polar low

# **Climatology of Polar Lows Linked to TPVs**

 104 out of the total 140 polar lows, or 74.3%, match with at least one TPV



# **Case Selection**

- Use the ERA5 (Hersbach and Dee 2016) downloaded at 0.3° horizontal resolution for analysis of a polar low case
- Choose a case for which a polar low is trackable in the ERA5
- Choose a case for which the polar low is clearly linked to a single TPV

# **The Polar Low and TPV**



Feature	Genesis	Lysis	Lifetime
PL	10 Feb	11 Feb	18 h
TPV	31 Jan	20 Feb	20 d

Tracks of (a) polar low and (b) TPV, and 10–11 Feb 2011 timemean (a) 850-hPa temperature (K, black) and standardized temperature anomalies ( $\sigma$ , shaded), and (b) 300-hPa geopotential height (dam, black) and standardized geopotential height anomalies ( $\sigma$ , shaded).

### 1800 UTC 10 Feb 2011



SLP (hPa, black), and 10-m wind (m  $s^{-1}$ , barbs)

### 0000 UTC 11 Feb 2011



(black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs) on 2-PVU surface

850-hPa relative vorticity (10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 850–600-hPa ascent (blue, every 2.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), SLP (hPa, black), and 10-m wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, barbs)

### 0600 UTC 11 Feb 2011



wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs) on 2-PVU surface

SLP (hPa, black), and 10-m wind (m  $s^{-1}$ , barbs)

### 1200 UTC 11 Feb 2011



(black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs) on 2-PVU surface

850-hPa relative vorticity (10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 850–600-hPa ascent (blue, every 2.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), SLP (hPa, black), and 10-m wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, barbs)

# **Summary**

- The evolution of the polar low appears to be related to the interaction between the TPV and a tropospheric-deep baroclinic zone
- Forcing for ascent associated with the TPV and a favorable thermodynamic environment likely support the intensification of the polar low

# **Outline**

- Analyze the evolution of a polar low linked to a TPV
- Investigate factors influencing the predictability of the evolution of the polar low

- Use ECMWF Ensemble Prediction System (EPS; Buizza et al. 2007) from TIGGE (Bougeault et al. 2010) initialized at 1200 UTC 9 February 2011
  - 30 h prior to genesis of polar low
  - Downloaded at 0.5° horizontal resolution
- Use ERA5 regridded to 0.5° horizontal resolution as verification

- Assess forecast skill of polar low in terms of a metric combining track error and intensity error of polar low
- Calculate metric by adapting methodology used by Lamberson et al. (2016) to evaluate forecast skill of a strong extratropical cyclone
- Calculate track error and intensity error every 6 h from 1800 UTC 10 Feb 2011 to 1200 UTC 11 Feb 2011 for each member

- Calculate track error as the distance between the location of the polar low in ERA5 and in each member
  - Location of the polar low corresponds to location of the maximum value of 850-hPa relative vorticity of the polar low
- Calculate intensity error as the absolute difference in intensity of the polar low in ERA5 and in each member
  - Intensity of the polar low corresponds to the maximum value of 850-hPa relative vorticity of the polar low

- Average errors over time and rank members 1–51 for both track and intensity, with 1 corresponding to member with lowest average error
- Add track error rank to intensity error rank to determine a combined track and intensity error rank for each member
- Subdivide members into two groups: one containing the eight most accurate members and one containing the eight least accurate members in terms of combined track and intensity error rank

# **Calculating Normalized Composite Differences**

 Calculate normalized composite differences between the most accurate and least accurate groups for selected quantities following Lamberson et al. (2016)

$$\Delta x_{i} = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{most \, accurate} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{least \, accurate}}{\sigma_{x_{i}}}$$

 $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{most accurate} = \text{mean of the } i\text{th state variable for most accurate members}$  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{least accurate} = \text{mean of the } i\text{th state variable for least accurate members}$ 

 $\sigma_{\chi_i}$  = ensemble standard deviation of  $\mathbf{x}_i$  computed for all members

# **Track and Intensity**



(a) Track and (b) intensity of 850-hPa relative vorticity maximum (10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) associated with polar low, every 6 h during 1800 UTC 10–1200 UTC 11 Feb 2011.

# **Composite Differences**

### 1800 UTC 10 Feb 2011 (30 h)

Ensemble mean

**shading:** normalized composite differences (σ; most accurate group minus least accurate group)

**stippling:** statistically significant differences between groups at 95% confidence level according to a twosided Student's *t* test

- Mean position of PL in most accurate group\*
- Mean position of PL in least accurate group\*

\***Track:** 1800 UTC 10– 1200 UTC 11 Feb 2011



# **Summary**

- Composite differences between the most and least accurate groups suggest that the TPV and L1 are positioned farther northeastward and the baroclinic zone is positioned farther eastward in the most accurate group
  - These position differences may be tied to the ridges flanking the TPV (R1 and R2) being less amplified and R1 extending farther eastward in the most accurate group
  - These position differences likely contribute to the farther northeastward track of the polar low in the most accurate group

# **Summary**

 The more conducive thermodynamic environment for polar low development in the most accurate group likely contributes to the polar low being stronger in the most accurate group

# **Questions?** *Email: kbiernat@albany.edu*

 The more conducive thermodynamic environment for polar low development in the most accurate group likely contributes to the polar low being stronger in the most accurate group

# **Supplementary Figures**

# **Climatology of Polar Lows Linked to TPVs**



Lifetime distribution of polar lows linked to TPVs, with lifetime in number of hours.

### 0000 UTC 8 Feb 2011



(black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs) on 2-PVU surface

300-hPa wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 1000–500-hPa thickness (dam, blue/red), SLP (hPa, black), and PW (mm, shaded)

### 0000 UTC 9 Feb 2011



### 0000 UTC 10 Feb 2011



### 0000 UTC 11 Feb 2011



wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs) on 2-PVU surface

SLP (hPa, black), and PW (mm, shaded)

# **Favorable Conditions**

### 0300 UTC 11 Feb 2011



# **Favorable Conditions**

### 0300 UTC 11 Feb 2011



# **Cross Sections**

### 0300 UTC 11 Feb 2011



(a) PV (PVU, shaded),  $\theta$  (K, black), ascent (red, every 2.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind speed (white, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>); (b) DT (2-PVU surface)  $\theta$  (K, shaded), wind speed (black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs); (c) 850-hPa relative vorticity (10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 850–600-hPa ascent (blue, every 2.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), SLP (hPa, black), and 10-m wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, barbs)

# **Cross Sections**

### 0300 UTC 11 Feb 2011



(a) PV (PVU, shaded),  $\theta$  (K, black), ascent (red, every 2.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind speed (white, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>); (b) DT (2-PVU surface)  $\theta$  (K, shaded), wind speed (black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs); (c) 850-hPa relative vorticity (10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 850–600-hPa ascent (blue, every 2.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), SLP (hPa, black), and 10-m wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, barbs)