# Linkages between Tropopause Polar Vortices and the Great Arctic Cyclone of August 2012

#### Daniel Keyser<sup>1</sup>, Kevin A. Biernat<sup>1</sup>, Lance F. Bosart<sup>1</sup>, and Steven M. Cavallo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences University at Albany, State University of New York


<sup>2</sup>University of Oklahoma

32nd AMS Conference on Climate Variability and Change Tuesday 8 January 2019

Research Supported by ONR Grant N00014-18-1-2200

#### What are Tropopause Polar Vortices (TPVs)?

 TPVs are defined as tropopause-based vortices of highlatitude origin and are material features (Pyle et al. 2004; Cavallo and Hakim 2009, 2010, 2012, 2013)



**(left)** Dynamic tropopause (DT) wind speed (every 15 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 50 m s<sup>-1</sup>, thick contours) and DT potential temperature (K, thin contours and shading) on 1.5-PVU surface valid at 0000 UTC 1 Dec 1991; **(right)** same as left except DT pressure (hPa, thin contours and shading). Adapted from Fig. 11 in Pyle et al. (2004).

### **Motivation**

- TPVs may interact with and strengthen jet streams, and act as precursors to the development of intense Arctic cyclones (e.g., Tao et al. 2017)
- Arctic cyclones may be associated with strong surface winds and poleward advection of warm, moist air, contributing to reductions in Arctic sea-ice extent (e.g., Zhang et al. 2013)
- Heavy precipitation, strong surface winds, and large waves accompanying Arctic cyclones may pose hazards to ships navigating through open passageways in the Arctic Ocean

### The Great Arctic Cyclone of August 2012 (AC12)

- AC12 formed over Siberia on 2 August 2012 and tracked northeastward into the Arctic, reaching a minimum central sea level pressure (SLP) of 962.3 hPa at 1000 UTC 6 August in the ERA5
- Strong surface winds and waves associated with AC12 helped break up thin sea ice (e.g., Parkinson and Comiso 2013)
- Strong surface winds and waves associated with AC12 also contributed to increased upward ocean heat transport and bottom melting of ice, with sea-ice volume decreasing twice as fast as normal during AC12 (e.g., Zhang et al. 2013)

### The Great Arctic Cyclone of August 2012 (AC12)

- Simmonds and Rudeva (2012), Yamazaki et al. (2015), and Tao et al. (2017) found that a TPV played an important role in the life cycle of AC12
- This presentation examines linkages between TPVs and AC12, and the impact of AC12 on Arctic sea-ice extent

#### Outline

- Identification and synoptic examination of three TPVs, a predecessor surface cyclone (L1), and AC12
- Impact of AC12 on Arctic sea-ice extent

### **Data and Methods**

- Data: ERA5 (Hersbach and Dee 2016) gridded to 0.3° horizontal resolution
- Utilized objective TPV tracking algorithm (Szapiro and Cavallo 2018) to identify and track TPVs of interest for AC12
- Tracked L1 and AC12 manually by following the locations of minimum SLP

#### **Track and Intensity**

L1

AC12

31 July


2 Aug

5 Aug

15 Aug

~5 d

~13 d




black) and standardized temperature anomalies ( $\sigma$ , shaded)


### **Track and Intensity**

- TPV 1 is the longest-lived of the three TPVs and corresponds to the TPV shown in previous studies to play an important role in the evolution of AC12
- TPV 2 and TPV 3 are shorter-lived TPVs and play supporting roles in the evolution of AC12
- L1 is the predecessor cyclone that interacts and merges with AC12
- AC12 is the main cyclone of interest and has a lifetime of ~13 days
- TPV 1 and AC12 track in a region of tropospheric-deep baroclinicity over Siberia


## **Track and Intensity**




#### 0000 UTC 3 Aug 2012




#### 1200 UTC 3 Aug 2012




#### 0000 UTC 4 Aug 2012




#### 1200 UTC 4 Aug 2012




#### 0000 UTC 5 Aug 2012




#### 1200 UTC 5 Aug 2012



#### 0000 UTC 6 Aug 2012



#### 1200 UTC 6 Aug 2012




- TPV 1 approaches and interacts with AC12 in a region of strong baroclinicity, likely supporting the development of AC12 through baroclinic processes
- TPV 2 forms at 0000 UTC 3 Aug east of TPV 1, and TPV 3 forms at 0000 UTC 4 Aug by splitting off from TPV 1
- TPV-jet interactions involving TPV 1, TPV 2, and TPV 3 likely contribute to the formation of a dual-jet configuration and jet coupling over AC12 during 1200 UTC 3 Aug-0000 UTC 4 Aug (jet coupling phase)

- Upper-level divergence associated with the jet coupling likely supports the intensification of AC12
- The interaction and merger of L1 with AC12 may further support the intensification of AC12
- Cold air advection in the wake of L1 helps maintain the strong baroclinicity in the vicinity of AC12, which also may support the intensification of AC12

- Most rapid intensification of AC12 occurs during 0000 UTC 5 Aug–0000 UTC 6 Aug, when AC12 crosses from the warm side to the cold side of a strong upper-level jet streak (jet crossing phase)
- AC12 attains peak intensity of 962.3 hPa at 1000 UTC 6 Aug in the ERA5 and becomes vertically aligned with TPV 1 by 1200 UTC 6 Aug
- AC12 and TPV 1 then meander slowly in tandem over the Arctic, while AC12 slowly weakens

### **Cross Sections: Jet Coupling**


#### 2100 UTC 3 Aug 2012



(a) PV (PVU, shaded), θ (K, black), ascent (red, every 5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind speed (white, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>); DT (2-PVU surface) θ (K, shaded), wind speed (black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs); (c) 350–250-hPa PV (PVU, gray) and irrot. wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, vectors), 300-hPa wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 800–600-hPa ascent (every 5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and PW (mm, shading)

### **Cross Sections: Jet Crossing**

#### 1200 UTC 5 Aug 2012



(a) PV (PVU, shaded), θ (K, black), ascent (red, every 5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind speed (white, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>); DT (2-PVU surface) θ (K, shaded), wind speed (black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs); (c) 350–250-hPa PV (PVU, gray) and irrot. wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, vectors), 300-hPa wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 800–600-hPa ascent (every 5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and PW (mm, shading)

### **Cross Sections**

- At 2100 UTC 3 Aug, TPV-jet interactions involving TPV1 and TPV 2 likely contribute to the dual-jet configuration and jet coupling over AC12 (jet coupling phase)
- Jet coupling likely supports the relatively strong low-level ascent over AC12
- Latent heating related to the low-level ascent in the presence of warm, moist air likely contributes to the formation of a potential vorticity (PV) tower associated with AC12 and the concomitant intensification of AC12


### **Cross Sections**

 At 2100 UTC 3 Aug, the interaction between TPV 1 and the PV tower also likely supports the intensification of AC12

### **Cross Sections**

- At 1200 UTC 5 Aug, latent heating likely contributes to the maintenance of the PV tower associated with AC12 and the intensification of AC12 (jet crossing phase)
- The contribution of latent heating at 1200 UTC 5 Aug (jet crossing phase) likely is smaller than at 2100 UTC 3 Aug (jet coupling phase)

#### Impacts of AC12 on Arctic Sea Ice



#### Impacts of AC12 on Arctic Sea Ice

- AC12 is associated with an expansive field of relatively strong winds
- The relatively strong southerly winds to the east of the center of AC12 are approximately perpendicular to the sea-ice edge, likely helping to move and break up the thin sea ice
- AC12 meanders slowly over the Arctic, leading to a prolonged impact on the sea ice, as illustrated by the relatively large reduction in sea-ice concentration northeast of Siberia

### **Summary**

- TPV 1 approaches and interacts with AC12 in a region of strong baroclinicity, likely supporting the development of AC12 through baroclinic processes
- Cold air advection in the wake of L1 helps maintain the strong baroclinicity in the vicinity of AC12, which also may support the intensification of AC12
- TPV-jet interactions involving TPV 1, TPV 2, and TPV 3 likely contribute to the formation of a dual-jet configuration and jet coupling over AC12 during the jet coupling phase

### **Summary**

- Latent heating related to low-level ascent in the presence of warm, moist air in the region of jet coupling likely contributes to the formation of a PV tower associated with AC12 and the concomitant intensification of AC12
- The interaction between TPV 1 and the PV tower during jet coupling likely supports the intensification of AC12
- The interaction and merger of L1 with AC12 may further support the intensification of AC12

#### Summary

- Most rapid intensification of AC12 occurs when AC12 crosses from the warm side to the cold side of a strong upper-level jet streak
- Latent heating likely contributes to the maintenance of the PV tower associated with AC12 and the intensification of AC12 during the jet crossing phase
- Widespread, relatively strong surface winds associated with AC12 contribute to a reduction in Arctic sea-ice extent as AC12 meanders slowly over the Arctic