# Linkages Between Tropopause Polar Vortices and the Great Arctic Cyclone of August 2012

#### Daniel Keyser, Kevin A. Biernat, and Lance F. Bosart

Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences University at Albany, SUNY

29th AMS Conference on Weather Analysis and Forecasting Tuesday 5 June 2018

> Research Supported by NSF Grant AGS-1355960 and ONR Grant N00014-18-1-2200

### What are Tropopause Polar Vortices (TPVs)?

 TPVs are defined as tropopause-based vortices of highlatitude origin and are material features (Pyle et al. 2004; Cavallo and Hakim 2009, 2010, 2012, 2013)



**(left)** Dynamic tropopause (DT) wind speed (every 15 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 50 m s<sup>-1</sup>, thick contours) and DT potential temperature (K, thin contours and shading) on 1.5-PVU surface valid at 0000 UTC 1 Dec 1991; **(right)** same as left except DT pressure (hPa, thin contours and shading). Adapted from Fig. 11 in Pyle et al. (2004).

### **Motivation**

- TPVs may interact with and strengthen jet streams, and act as precursors to the development of intense Arctic cyclones (e.g., Simmonds and Rudeva 2012, 2014)
- Arctic cyclones may be associated with strong surface winds and poleward advection of warm, moist air, contributing to reductions in Arctic sea-ice extent (e.g., Zhang et al. 2013)
- Heavy precipitation, strong surface winds, and large waves due to Arctic cyclones may pose hazards to ships moving through open passageways in the Arctic Ocean

## The Great Arctic Cyclone of August 2012 (AC12)

- AC12 formed over Siberia on 2 August 2012 and tracked northeastward into the Arctic, reaching a minimum central sea level pressure (SLP) of 966.4 hPa at 1800 UTC 6 August in the CFSR (Simmonds and Rudeva 2012)
- AC12 led to reductions in Arctic sea-ice extent during a time in which sea ice was thin and sea-ice volume was well below normal (Zhang et al. 2013)
- Strong surface winds associated with AC12 helped to break up the thin sea ice (e.g., Parkinson and Comiso 2013)

## The Great Arctic Cyclone of August 2012 (AC12)

- According to Zhang et al. (2013), sea-ice volume decreased twice as fast as normal during AC12 due to melting of the bottom and perimeter of ice floes
- Simmonds and Rudeva (2012) and Yamazaki et al. (2015) found that a TPV played an important role in the life cycle of AC12

### Outline

- Identification and synoptic examination of three TPVs, a predecessor surface cyclone, and AC12
- Impact of AC12 on Arctic sea-ice extent

• Data:

- 0.3° ERA5 (Hersbach and Dee 2016)

- Utilized TPV tracking algorithm developed by Nicholas Szapiro and Steven Cavallo to identify and track TPVs of interest for AC12
- Manually tracked the predecessor surface cyclone and AC12 by following the locations of minimum SLP

Link for Tracking Algorithm: <a href="https://github.com/nickszap/tpvTrack">https://github.com/nickszap/tpvTrack</a>

### **TPV and Surface Cyclone Tracks**



### **TPV and Surface Cyclone Tracks**

- TPV 1 is the longest-lived of the three TPVs and corresponds to the TPV shown in previous studies to play an important role in the evolution of AC12
- TPV 2 and TPV 3 are shorter-lived TPVs that play supporting roles in the evolution of AC12
- L1 is the predecessor cyclone that merges with AC12
- AC12 is the main cyclone of interest and has a lifetime of ~13 days
- TPV 1 and AC12 track in a region of tropospheric-deep baroclinicity over Siberia

#### 0000 UTC 2 Aug 2012



### 1200 UTC 2 Aug 2012



#### 0000 UTC 3 Aug 2012



#### 1200 UTC 3 Aug 2012



#### 0000 UTC 4 Aug 2012



### 1200 UTC 4 Aug 2012



#### 0000 UTC 5 Aug 2012



#### 1200 UTC 5 Aug 2012



#### 0000 UTC 6 Aug 2012



#### 1200 UTC 6 Aug 2012



### 0000 UTC 7 Aug 2012



### 1200 UTC 7 Aug 2012



- TPV 1 approaches and interacts with AC12 in a region of strong baroclinicity, likely supporting the development of AC12 through baroclinic processes
- TPV 2 forms at 0000 UTC 3 Aug east of TPV 1, and TPV 3 forms at 0000 UTC 4 Aug by splitting off from TPV 1
- TPV-jet interactions involving TPV 1, TPV 2, and TPV 3 likely contribute to the formation of a dual-jet configuration and jet coupling over AC12 during 3-4 Aug
- Upper-level divergence associated with the jet coupling, as well as forcing for ascent associated with TPV 1, likely support the intensification of AC12

- L1 interacts and merges with AC12 on 5 Aug, which may further support the intensification of AC12
- AC12 becomes positioned in the left exit region of a jet streak located between TPV 1 and a downstream ridge by 0000 UTC 6 Aug and continues to intensify
- AC12 attains peak intensity of 962.3 hPa at 1000 UTC 6 Aug in the ERA5 and becomes vertically aligned with TPV 1 by 1200 UTC 6 Aug
- AC12 and TPV 1 then meander slowly in tandem over the Arctic, while AC12 slowly weakens

#### 0600 UTC 4 Aug 2012



(a) PV (PVU, shaded), θ (K, black), ascent (red, every 3 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind speed (dashed white, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>); (b) DT (2-PVU surface) θ (K, shaded), wind speed (black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs); (c) 250-hPa wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 1000–500-hPa thickness (dam, blue/red), SLP (hPa, black), and PW (mm, shaded)

#### 0000 UTC 6 Aug 2012



(a) PV (PVU, shaded), θ (K, black), ascent (red, every 3 × 10<sup>-3</sup> hPa s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind speed (dashed white, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>); (b) DT (2-PVU surface) θ (K, shaded), wind speed (black, every 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>), and wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, flags and barbs); (c) 250-hPa wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>, shaded), 1000–500-hPa thickness (dam, blue/red), SLP (hPa, black), and PW (mm, shaded)

- TPV-jet interactions involving TPV1, TPV 2, and TPV 3 likely contribute to the dual-jet configuration and jet coupling over AC12 at 0600 UTC 4 Aug
- Jet coupling likely supports the relatively strong low-level ascent over and near AC12
- Latent heating related to the low-level ascent in the presence of warm, moist air likely contributes to the formation of a potential vorticity (PV) tower associated with AC12

- By 0000 UTC 6 Aug, the depth of the PV tower associated with AC12 has increased
- The increase in the depth of the PV tower likely is a manifestation of the contribution of latent heating to the intensification of AC12

### **Reduction in Arctic Sea Ice**



### **Reduction in Arctic Sea Ice**

- AC12 is associated with an expansive field of relatively strong winds
- The relatively strong southerly winds to the east of the center of AC12 are approximately perpendicular to the sea-ice edge, likely helping to move and break up the thin sea ice
- AC12 meanders slowly over the Arctic, leading to a prolonged impact on the sea ice, as illustrated by the relatively large reduction in sea-ice concentration northeast of Siberia

### Conclusions

- TPV 1 approaches and interacts with AC12 in a region of strong baroclinicity, likely supporting the development of AC12 through baroclinic processes
- TPV-jet interactions involving TPV 1, TPV 2, and TPV 3 likely contribute to the formation of a dual-jet configuration and jet coupling over AC12
- Latent heating related to low-level ascent in the presence of warm, moist air in region of jet coupling likely contributes to the formation of the PV tower associated with AC12

### Conclusions

- The increase in the depth of the PV tower associated with AC12 likely is a manifestation of the contribution of latent heating to the intensification of AC12
- L1 interacts and merges with AC12, which may further support the intensification of AC12
- After attaining peak intensity, AC12 meanders slowly over the Arctic, where its expansive surface wind field contributes to reductions in Arctic sea-ice extent