A Comparison of the Predictability of Arctic and Atlantic Basin Cyclones

Peyton K. Capute and Ryan D. Torn

University at Albany, SUNY



19th Cyclone Workshop – Kloster Seeon, Germany – 1 October 2019 Funding is provided by the Office of Naval Research (ONR) – Grant #: 1145883-1-81016 Case Studies

Background

- Arctic Cyclones are synoptic-scale cyclones that may be responsible for transporting warm, moist air into the Arctic region, leading to warming and sea-ice depletion during the summer months (Zhang et al. 2013)
- Crawford and Serreze (2016) demonstrate that Arctic cyclones tend to originate over Eurasia during the summer possibly due to a reduction is static stability
- Motivation: Shrinking sea-ice opens the possibility for ocean vessels to travel through the Northwest passage

Introduction Methodology Case Studies Results Conclusions/Future Great Arctic Cyclone (GAC) of 2012





Simmonds and Rudeva (2012)

Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

GAC of 2012 (cont.)

Sea-ice extent: loss of ~200,000 square kilometers



Case Studies

Conclusions/Future

Research Outline

- Goal: Compare the predictability of Arctic Cyclones (ACs) to the predictability of Atlantic Basin Cyclones (ABCs), since midlatitude cyclones are much better understood
 - First: Identify strong cyclones in midlatitudes (Atlantic Basin) and the Arctic region
 - Second: Create methodology for an ensemble tracking algorithm that is versatile for both region
 - Third: Examine mean cyclone position and intensity standard deviation for Arctic cyclones vs. Atlantic Basin as a function of time

Methodology

Case Studies

Conclusions/Future

Criteria for Selecting Cyclones

- Using Sprenger et al. (2017) Climatology (6-hourly data) ERA Interim data
 - 32-year climatology (1985-2016)
 - Winter months (Nov-Mar) for Atlantic Basin
 - Summer months (Jun Aug) for the Arctic
 - Duration of at least 3 days (12 six-hourly periods)
 - ABCs: must undergo cyclogenesis off the East coast of the United States
 - ACs: North of 70 degrees North for at least 80% of life cycle
- To determine intense cyclones:
 - **Pressure difference** = last closed contour pressure center

Accumulated Pressure Difference = \sum (pressure differences)

(similar to ACE (Accumulated Cyclone Energy) but using pressure difference)

Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Accumulated Pressure Difference



Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Atlantic Track Density



7

Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Arctic Track Density



Ensemble Tracking Methodology

- NCEP Global Ensemble Forecasting System (GEFS) Reforecast Data:
 - Consistent modeling system for a long period of time
 - 11 ensemble members (control + 10 perturbed members)
 - Initialized each day at 0000 UTC over same period
 - Cyclones tracked via 925-hPa area-averaged vorticity
 - Initialization time: first timestep that **pressure difference >= 12-hPa**
 - 120 hours forecast time (5 days)

Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Atlantic Basin Case Study



Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Atlantic Basin Case Study



11

Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Atlantic Basin Case Study



Introduction Methodology Case Studies Results Conclusions/Future Great Arctic Cyclone Case Study



Introduction Methodology Case Studies Results Conclusions/Future Great Arctic Cyclone Case Study



Introduction Methodology Case Studies Results Conclusions/Future Great Arctic Cyclone Case Study



Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Ensemble Spread

In order to examine the ensemble spread:

- Intensity standard deviation
 - Used mean sea level pressure (MSLP)
 - Calculated standard deviation for each lead time (if available):

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X - \bar{X})^2}{n - 1}}$$

where X is MSLP and n is the number of ensemble members

- Position root mean square
 - Used latitude and longitude
 - Calculated great circle distance between ensemble and mean
 - Took root mean square of distances:

$$RMS = \sqrt{\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{N}}$$

where x is great circle distance and N is number of ensemble members

Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Intensity Variability



Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Intensity Variability



Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Position Variability



Methodology

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Position Variability





- By using our selected criteria, we found 100 Arctic cyclones and 130 Atlantic Basin cyclones
- Forecast variability increases as a function of lead time for both the Arctic and Atlantic Basins
- On average, Arctic cyclone intensity standard deviation is higher compared to the Atlantic Basin cyclones
- By contrast, the average position standard deviation is similar for Arctic and Atlantic Basin cyclones at most lead times

Case Studies

Results

Conclusions/Future

Future Work

- Finish examining all lead times for mean cyclone position and intensity standard deviation for Arctic cyclones vs. Atlantic Basin
- Longer term: Use an ensemble-based sensitivity method to analyze what processes limit Arctic cyclone predictability for cases that are characterized by larger position or intensity uncertainty

References

Crawford, A., and M. Serreze, 2016: Does the summer Arctic frontal zone influence Arctic Ocean cyclone activity? *J. Climate*, **29**, 4977–4993.

Simmons, I and I. Rudeva, 2012: The great Arctic cyclones of August 2012. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054259</u>

Sprenger, M., G. Fragkoulidis, H. Binder, M. Croci-Maspoli, P. Graf, C.M. Grams, P. Knippertz, E. Madonna, S. Schemm, B. Škerlak, and H. Wernli, 2017: <u>Global Climatologies of Eulerian and Lagrangian Flow Features based on ERA-</u> <u>Interim.</u> *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **98**, 1739–1748, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00299.1</u>

Zhang, J., R. Lindsay, A. Schweiger, and M. Steele, 2013: The impact of an intense summer cyclone on 2012 Arctic sea ice retreat. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 720–726.

NASA: <u>http://www.climatecentral.org/news/last-summers-great-arctic-cyclone-unprecedented-says-new-study-15415</u>

National Snow & Ice Data Center: <u>http://nsidc.org/arcticseaicenews/2012/08/a-summer-storm-in-the-arctic/</u>

Extra Slides

Atlantic domain of cyclogenesis

