An Analysis of Multiple Steering Influences on the Track of Tropical Cyclone Joaquin (2015)

Philippe Papin, Ryan D. Torn, and Lance F. Bosart Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences University at Albany, State University of New York

32<sup>nd</sup> Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology San Juan, Puerto Rico 20 April 2016

- TC Joaquin formed in the <sup>6</sup> western Atlantic on 0000 UTC 28 September 2015 <sub>5</sub>
- Synoptic track influences
  - Upstream deep-layer trough over the Eastern US
  - Poleward deep-layer ridge over northwest Atlantic
  - PV streamer northeast of Joaquin
- Track Results
  - Track forecast by the NHC took
    Joaquin inland
    - 1800 UTC 30 September 2015
  - Large spread in numerical guidance
  - Track verified well right of NHC forecast



- TC Joaquin formed in the <sup>60N</sup> western Atlantic on 0000 UTC 28 September 2015 <sub>50N</sub>
- Synoptic track influences
  - Upstream deep-layer trough 40N
    over the Eastern US
  - Poleward deep-layer ridge over northwest Atlantic
  - PV streamer northeast of Joaquin
- **Track Results** 
  - Track forecast by the NHC took
    Joaquin inland
    - 1800 UTC 30 September 2015
  - Large spread in numerical guidance
  - Track verified well right of NHC forecast

350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 350-K winds (barbs, kt)



- TC Joaquin formed in the <sup>60</sup>
  western Atlantic on 0000 UTC
  28 September 2015 50
- Synoptic track influences
  - Upstream deep-layer trough 40 over the Eastern US
  - Poleward deep-layer ridge over northwest Atlantic
  - PV streamer northeast of Joaquin
- Track Results
  - Track forecast by the NHC took
    Joaquin inland
    - 1800 UTC 30 September 2015
  - Large spread in numerical guidance
  - Track verified well right of NHC forecast



- TC Joaquin formed in the <sup>6</sup> western Atlantic on 0000 UTC 28 September 2015 5
- Synoptic track influences
  - Upstream deep-layer trough over the Eastern US
  - Poleward deep-layer ridge over northwest Atlantic
  - PV streamer northeast of Joaquin
- Track Results
  - Track forecast by the NHC took
    Joaquin inland
    - 1800 UTC 30 September 2015
  - Large spread in numerical guidance
  - Track verified well right of NHC forecast



120-h NHC track (red line), 24-h forecast point (red circles) 120-h ECMWF Ensemble forecast tracks (black lines)

- TC Joaquin formed in the <sup>6</sup> western Atlantic on 0000 UTC 28 September 2015 5
- Synoptic track influences
  - Upstream deep-layer trough over the Eastern US
  - Poleward deep-layer ridge over northwest Atlantic
  - PV streamer northeast of Joaquin
- Track Results
  - Track forecast by the NHC took
    Joaquin inland
    - 1800 UTC 30 September 2015
  - Large spread in numerical guidance
  - Track verified well right of NHC forecast



120-h NHC track (red line), 24-h forecast point (red circles) 120-h ECMWF Ensemble forecast tracks (black lines) Best Track (green line), 24-h Best Track positions (green circles)

#### Which features were important for correct track?

## **Objectives**

# 1) Investigate the role that relevant synoptic features play on TC Joaquin's steering

- Dataset: 0.5° Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) v2
- 2) Investigate the forecast evolution of TC Joaquin's steering
  - Dataset: 0.5° ECMWF Ensemble Prediction System
  - Initialized 0000 UTC 30 September 2015
  - Data from THORPEX Interactive Grand Global Ensemble (TIGGE)

## **Track of Joaquin**



- Southwesterly motion from 29 Sep – 2 Oct
- What synoptic features are responsible for unusual southwesterly motion?
  - Investigate the individual pieces perceived to be responsible for southwesterly motion

## **Track of Joaquin**



- Southwesterly motion from 29 Sep – 2 Oct
- What synoptic features are responsible for unusual southwesterly motion?
  - Investigate the individual pieces perceived to be responsible for southwesterly motion

## **Track of Joaquin**



- Southwesterly motion from 29 Sep – 2 Oct
- What synoptic features are responsible for unusual southwesterly motion?
  - Investigate the individual pieces perceived to be responsible for southwesterly motion

#### Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on 850 – 250-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin) **TC Joaquin track** 60N

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds
- **Remove TC Joaquin vortex**
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0°
- **Assumption: Steering associated with** deep-layer winds governs the motion of TC Joaquin
- **CFSRv2** heading implied by layer mean flow:
- Actual best track heading:



#### Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on 850 – 250-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin) **TC Joaquin track** 60N

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds 50N
- **Remove TC Joaquin vortex**
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0°
- **Assumption: Steering associated with** deep-layer winds governs the motion of TC Joaquin
- **CFSRv2** heading implied by layer mean flow:
- Actual best track heading:



#### Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track 60N 60N

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds 50N
- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0<sup>°</sup>
- Assumption: Steering associated with deep-layer winds governs the motion of TC Joaquin
- CFSRv2 heading implied by layer mean flow:

208° at 2.9 m s<sup>-1</sup>

• Actual best track heading:

213° at 3.1 m s<sup>-1</sup>



#### Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on **TC Joaquin track** 60N

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds 50N
- **Remove TC Joaquin vortex**
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0°
- Assumption: Steering associated with deep-layer winds governs the motion of TC Joaquin
- CFSRv2 heading implied by layer mean flow:

208° at 2.9 m s<sup>-1</sup>

Actual best track heading:

213° at 3.1 m s<sup>-1</sup>

850 – 250-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)



When does CFSR capture steering of Joaquin best?

### **CFSR Heading Error**

CFSR heading<sub>850 - 250-hPa</sub> – Best Track heading = CFSR heading error



 Pick period early in track evolution with relatively low heading error



### **CFSR Heading Error**

CFSR heading<sub>850 - 250-hPa</sub> – Best Track heading = CFSR heading error



 Pick period early in track evolution that had relatively low heading error



# Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013)
  Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds 50N
- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0<sup>o</sup>
- Assumption: Steering associated with deep-layer winds governs the motion of TC Joaquin
- Use PV anomalies (+/- PVU) to diagnosis upper-tropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013)
  Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds 50N
- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0<sup>o</sup>
- Assumption: Steering associated with deep-layer winds governs the motion of TC Joaquin
- Use PV anomalies (+/- PVU) to diagnosis upper-tropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin



**Test 1:** Positive PV Anomalies (isolates upstream trough and PV streamer)

# Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013)
  Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds 50N
- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0°
- Assumption: Steering associated with deep-layer winds governs the motion of TC Joaquin
- Use PV anomalies (+/- PVU) to diagnosis upper-tropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin
  - **Test 2:** Negative PV Anomalies (isolates ridging)





- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0<sup>o</sup>
- Test 2 Negative PV Anomalies (isolates ridging)

#### Observations

- CFSRv2 heading 240° at 10.2 m s<sup>-1</sup>
- Actual TC motion: 213° at 3.1 m s<sup>-1</sup>





850 – 250-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin) 60N **Removal of TC** 1800 UTC 30 Sep 2015 Joaquin vortex r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≤ 4.0<sup>o</sup> 50N **Negative PV Anomalies** Test 2 (isolates ridging) 40N **Observations CFSRv2** heading 240° at 10.2 m s<sup>-1</sup> 30N **Actual TC motion:** 213° at 3.1 m s<sup>-1</sup> 20N 10 m s Are differences in the southwestward motion of Joaquin related to differences in steering? 100W 90W 70W 60W 50W 80W -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 -5 -3 -2.5 [PVU]

## **Objectives**

1) Investigate the role that relevant synoptic features play on TC Joaquin's steering

– Dataset: 0.5° Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) v2

- 2) Investigate the forecast evolution of TC Joaquin's steering
  - Dataset: 0.5° ECMWF Ensemble Prediction System
  - Initialized 0000 UTC 30 September 2015
  - Data from THORPEX Interactive Grand Global Ensemble (TIGGE)

### **ECMWF Ensemble Prediction System (EPS)**

- Extreme spread in ensemble track forecast
  - Roughly equal probabilities of Joaquin striking South Carolina to 40N Bermuda (10-30%)
  - Verifying track on right side of guidance envelope
- Composite 10 rightmost tracks versus 10 leftmost tracks
  - Rightmost tracks best match actual Joaquin track



### **ECMWF Ensemble Prediction System (EPS)**

- Extreme spread in ensemble track forecast
  - Roughly equal probabilities of Joaquin striking South Carolina to 40N Bermuda (10-30%)
  - Verifying track on right side of guidance envelope
- Composite 10 rightmost tracks versus 10 leftmost tracks
  - Rightmost tracks best match actual Joaquin track



### **ECMWF Ensemble Prediction System (EPS)**

- Extreme spread in 50N ensemble track forecast
  - Roughly equal probabilities of Joaquin striking South Carolina to 40N Bermuda (10-30%)

30N

20N

- Verifying track on right side of guidance envelope
- Composite 10 rightmost tracks versus 10 leftmost tracks
  - Rightmost tracks best match actual Joaquin track



- Standardized northerly meridional steering of right tracking members guides Joaquin further south first 24-36 hours
  - Statistically significant
- Standardized flow differences weaken by 48 hours
  - Perturbations no longer significant compared to ensemble mean
  - However, Joaquin's track is irreversibly altered



- Standardized northerly meridional steering of right tracking members guides Joaquin further south first 24-36 hours
  - Statistically significant
- Standardized flow
  differences weaken by
  48 hours
  - Perturbations no longer significant compared to ensemble mean
  - However, Joaquin's track is irreversibly altered



- Standardized northerly meridional steering of right tracking members guides Joaquin further south first 24-36 hours
  - Statistically significant
- Standardized flow differences weaken by 48 hours
  - Perturbations no longer significant compared to ensemble mean
  - However, Joaquin's track is irreversibly altered



- Standardized northerly meridional steering of right tracking members guides Joaquin further south first 24-36 hours
  - Statistically significant
- Standardized flow differences weaken by 48 hours
  - Perturbations no longer significant compared to ensemble mean
  - However, Joaquin's track is irreversibly altered



Composite Mean Steering Flow Magnitude (shaded, m s<sup>-1</sup>), and direction (vectors)

#### Left Tracking Members

#### **Right Tracking Members**



- Stronger northerly meridional flow initially steers right members south
- Weaker northerly meridional flow keeps left members north of COL
- Right members end up south of COL, on an out to sea track



• Stronger northerly meridional flow initially steers right members south

5

6

8

9

10

[m s<sup>-1</sup>]

• Weaker northerly meridional flow keeps left members north of COL

4

• Right members end up south of COL, on an out to sea track

70W

2

3

72 W

1

74W



- Stronger northerly meridional flow initially steers right members south
- Weaker northerly meridional flow keeps left members north of COL
- Right members end up south of COL, on an out to sea track

### Conclusions

- Steering of Joaquin at 0000 UTC 1 October from CFSR:
  - Southwest motion of Joaquin driven primarily by deep-layer ridge
  - Partially offset from PV streamer and upstream trough
  - Joaquin embedded in region of relatively light flow (COL)
- Differences in Joaquin's steering from 0000 UTC 30 September ECMWF EPS
  - Compared 10 leftmost members versus 10 rightmost members
  - Stronger northerly meridional steering flow pushes Joaquin southward in right tracking members
  - Track differences first 24-36 hours place Joaquin on opposite sides of COL, leading to track divergence
- Future Work
  - Investigate steering differences by synoptic feature
  - Investigate dynamical reasons for steering perturbations between left and right tracking members

#### **Extra Slides**

## **Left/Right Intensity Differences**

Using sea level pressure (hPa)



### **Left/Right Divergent Wind Differences**

#### Irrotational flow differences between Right and Left members



#### Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track 60N 60N



- Calculate heading imparted by CFSRv2 layer mean flow
- Compare to actual heading from NHC track



#### Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track 60N 60N



 Compare to actual heading from NHC track

#### Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on 850 – 250-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin) **TC Joaquin track** 60N

50W

[m s<sup>-1</sup>]

60W

18

20



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track



features related to steering of

Test 1

Joaquin



0000 UTC 1 Oct 2015



- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≥ 4.0°

Steering

- Observations
- CFSRv2 heading 12° at 4.3 m s<sup>-1</sup>
- Actual TC motion: 39° at 3.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>



- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≥ 4.0<sup>o</sup>

Steering

- Observations
- CFSRv2 heading 12° at 4.3 m s<sup>-1</sup>
- Actual TC motion: 39° at 3.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>

- 850 250-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin) 60N 0000 UTC 1 Oct 2015 50N 40N 30N 20N 10N 100W 90W 80W 70W 60W 50W  $[m s^{-1}]$ 10 12 16 18 2 6 8 14 20
- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≥ 4.0<sup>o</sup>

Test 1

#### Observations

- CFSRv2 heading 241° at 2.7 m s<sup>-1</sup>
- Actual TC motion: 39° at 3.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>

- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r<sub>Joaquin</sub>≥ 4.0°

Test 2

#### **Observations**

- CFSRv2 heading 56° at 6.7 m s<sup>-1</sup>
- Actual TC motion: 39° at 3.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>



#### **CFSR Normalized Error**

CFSR heading<sub>850 - 250-hPa</sub> – Best Track heading = CFSR heading error



 Pick period early in track evolution that had relatively low heading error

