The Antecedents and Impacts of Rossby Wave Breaking and PV Streamer Formation in the Tropical Atlantic (Steering Impact on TC Joaquin)

Philippe Papin, Ryan Torn, Lance Bosart

Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences University at Albany, State University of New York

17th Cyclone Workshop - 27 October 2015

- Potential Vorticity (PV)
 Streamers
 - Elongated filament of high PV air
 - Formation a result of anticyclonic Rossby wavebreaking (AWB)
 - Ridge amplification often aided by diabatic processes
 - Breakdown of PV streamer into cutoff cyclone
 - Can impact mature TC motion

350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 2-PVU contour highlighted (black contour)



- Potential Vorticity (PV)
 Streamers
 - Elongated filament of high PV air
 - Formation a result of anticyclonic Rossby wavebreaking (AWB)
 - Ridge amplification often aided by diabatic processes
 - Breakdown of PV streamer into cutoff cyclone
 - Can impact mature TC motion

350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 2-PVU contour highlighted (black contour) 350-K winds (barbs, kt)



- Potential Vorticity (PV)
 Streamers
 - Elongated filament of high PV air
 - Formation a result of anticyclonic Rossby wavebreaking (AWB)
 - Ridge amplification often aided by diabatic processes
 - Breakdown of PV streamer into cutoff cyclone
 - Can impact mature TC motion

Infrared brightness temperature (shading, °C), 350-K irrotational winds (vectors, $> 5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$)



- Potential Vorticity (PV)
 Streamers
 - Elongated filament of high PV air
 - Formation a result of anticyclonic Rossby wavebreaking (AWB)
 - Ridge amplification often aided by diabatic processes
 - Breakdown of PV streamer into cutoff cyclone
 - Can impact mature TC motion



Patla et al. (2009) on PV streamer / cutoff cyclone influence on TC Rex (1998) in WPAC

Objectives

1) Investigate initial PV streamer formation

- Antecedent conditions important to its formation
 - Dataset: 0.5° Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) v2

2) Investigate PV streamer's role on track of TC Joaquin

- Analyze role compared to other synoptic features
 - Dataset: 0.5° Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) v2

National Hurricane Center forecast discussion: 0600 UTC 3 October

Joaquin should continue to accelerate northeastward today in the deep-layer southwesterly flow between a **deep trough over the southeastern U.S.** and the **Atlantic subtropical ridge.** The cross-track spread in the guidance increases on days 2 and 3 due to differences in how much Joaquin is tugged to the left by an **upper-low passing to its north and northwest** before the cyclone accelerates into the westerlies over the north Atlantic.

- Compare CFSR to operational forecast evolution of synoptic features
 - Datasets: 0.5° GFS Forecast / 0.5° ECMWF Forecast



350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 350-K winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 350-K PV (gray contours, PVU), 350-K irrotational wind (yellow vectors, m s⁻¹), 600–400-hPa layer mean upward vertical motion (red contours, < -5 x 10^{-3} hPa s⁻¹)



350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 350-K winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 350-K PV (gray contours, PVU), 350-K irrotational wind (yellow vectors, m s⁻¹), 600–400-hPa layer mean upward vertical motion (red contours, < -5 x 10^{-3} hPa s⁻¹)



350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 350-K winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 350-K PV (gray contours, PVU), 350-K irrotational wind (yellow vectors, m s⁻¹), 600–400-hPa layer mean upward vertical motion (red contours, $< -5 \times 10^{-3}$ hPa s⁻¹)



350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 350-K winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 350-K PV (gray contours, PVU), 350-K irrotational wind (yellow vectors, m s⁻¹), 600–400-hPa layer mean upward vertical motion (red contours, $< -5 \times 10^{-3}$ hPa s⁻¹)



350-K PV (shaded, PVU), 350-K winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 350-K PV (gray contours, PVU), 350-K irrotational wind (yellow vectors, m s⁻¹), 600–400-hPa layer mean upward vertical motion (red contours, $< -5 \times 10^{-3}$ hPa s⁻¹)

Track Influences of Joaquin

Track of Joaquin



- Sharp right hairpin turn between 1 – 3 October
- Cutoff cyclone partially responsible for motion?
- Investigate the individual pieces perceived to be responsible for movement away from US coastline

Track of Joaquin



- Sharp right hairpin turn between 1 – 3 October
- Cutoff cyclone partially responsible for motion?

Investigate the individual pieces perceived to be responsible for movement away from US coastline

Track of Joaquin



- Sharp right hairpin turn between 1 – 3 October
- Cutoff cyclone partially responsible for motion?
- Investigate the individual pieces perceived to be responsible for movement away from US coastline

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track · 850 – 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)

Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013)Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain 50N
nondivergent and irrotational winds $\nabla^2 \psi = \begin{cases} \zeta & \text{for} \quad r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad r > r_0 \end{cases} \nabla^2 \chi = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{for} \quad r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad r > r_0 \end{cases} 40N$

 $egin{array}{ccc} {\sf Nondivergent} & {\sf Irrotational} \ {\sf Winds} & {\sf Winds} \ ec{V} &= ec{V}_\psi + ec{V}_\chi \end{array}$

Total Wind

 $ec{V_\chi} =
abla \chi \qquad ec{V_\psi} = \hat{k} imes
abla \psi$

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Calculate heading imparted by CFSRv2 layer mean flow
- Compare to actual heading from NHC track



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track · 850 – 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)

Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013)Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain 50N
nondivergent and irrotational winds $\nabla^2 \psi = \begin{cases} \zeta & \text{for } r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & \text{for } r > r_0 \end{cases} \nabla^2 \chi = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{for } r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & \text{for } r > r_0 \end{cases} 40N$ $\vec{V}_{\chi} = \nabla \chi$ $\vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \psi$ Nondivergent
WindsIrrotational
Winds

 $ec{V}=ec{V}_\psi+ec{V}_\chi$

Total Wind

• Remove TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0º

- Calculate heading imparted by CFSRv2 layer mean flow
- Compare to actual heading from NHC track



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track · 850 – 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)

- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain 50N nondivergent and irrotational winds $\nabla^2 \psi = \begin{cases} \zeta & \text{for } r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & \text{for } r > r_0 \end{cases} \nabla^2 \chi = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{for } r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & \text{for } r > r_0 \end{cases} 40N$ $\vec{V}_{\chi} = \nabla \chi \qquad \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \psi$ Nondivergent Irrotational 30N Winds Winds $\vec{V} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi}$ Total Wind 20N
- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Calculate heading imparted by CFSRv2 layer mean flow
- Compare to actual heading from NHC track



63° at 5.7 m s⁻¹

59º at 4.9 m s⁻¹

When does CFSR capture steering of Joaquin best?

CFSR Normalized Error



Time

 Pick period with lowest normalized error to investigate synoptic influences on heading

CFSR Normalized Error



Time

 Pick period with lowest normalized error to investigate synoptic influences on heading

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on TC Joaquin track · 850 – 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)

Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain

nondivergent and irrotational winds

$$abla^2\psi = egin{cases} \zeta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2\chi = egin{cases} \delta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2\chi =
abla\chi & ec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} imes
abla\psi \psi \ Nondivergent & Irrotational \ Winds & Winds \ ec{V} = ec{V}_{\psi} + ec{V}_{\chi} \ ec{V} = ec{V}_{\psi} + ec{V}_{\chi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} = ec{V}_{\psi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} = ec{V}_{\psi} + ec{V}_{\psi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} = ec{V}_{\psi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} = ec{V}_{\psi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} = ec{V}_{\psi} \ ec{V}_{\psi} \$$

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0º
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin



2.00

0

[PVU]

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds

$$abla^2 \psi = egin{cases} \zeta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi = egin{cases} \delta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi =
abla \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times
abla \psi \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times
abla \psi \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi & \text{Nondivergent} & \text{Irrotational} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}$$

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0º
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013)
 Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0º
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin
 - Test 1 Isolate steering from upstream upper-level trough



-2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5

1 1.5 2

3

-4 -3

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds

$$abla^2 \psi = egin{cases} \zeta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi = egin{cases} \delta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi =
abla \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \psi \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \psi \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi & \text{Nondivergent} & \text{Irrotational} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi = \nabla \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}$$

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0º
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin
 - Test 2 Isolate steering from poleward upper-level ridge



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds

$$abla^2 \psi = egin{cases} \zeta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi = egin{cases} \delta & ext{for} & r \leq r_0 \ 0 & ext{for} & r > r_0 \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi =
abla \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times
abla \psi \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \chi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times
abla \psi \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi & \text{Nondivergent} & \text{Irrotational} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi & \vec{V}_{\psi} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}
abla^2 \psi = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi} \ \end{pmatrix}$$

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0º
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin
 - Test 3 Isolate steering from cutoff cyclone (from PV streamer)



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013)
 Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin

Test 4 • Test 1 + Test 2 + Test 3 (Cumulative steering)



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau and Davis (2013) Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds

$$\nabla^{2}\psi = \begin{cases} \zeta & \text{for } r \leq r_{0} \\ 0 & \text{for } r > r_{0} \end{cases} \nabla^{2}\chi = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{for } r \leq r_{0} \\ 0 & \text{for } r > r_{0} \end{cases}$$
$$\vec{V}_{\chi} = \nabla \chi \qquad \vec{V}_{\psi} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \psi$$
$$Nondivergent \qquad \text{Irrotational} \\ \text{Winds} \qquad \vec{V} = \vec{V}_{\psi} + \vec{V}_{\chi}$$
$$\text{Total Wind}$$

- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin

Test 5 • Total Steering - Test 1 + Test 2 + Test 3 (residual)



- **Removal of TC Joaquin vortex**
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

Actual TC

motion:

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 - 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



- **Removal of TC Joaquin vortex**
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

Test 2

Actual TC

motion:

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



Test 5

Observations

- CFSRv2 heading ^{40N} 118° at 8.2 m s⁻¹
- Actual TC motion: 30
 59° at 4.9 m s⁻¹

Role of building equatorward ridge?

• 200-hPa geopotential height anomalies (shaded, dam)



- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



Conclusions

- Development of PV streamer from repeated AWB
 - Result of ridge amplification and advection of flow downstream of the ridge
 - PV streamer breakdown into cutoff cyclone
 - Possible result of additional AWB and downstream convection filamenting high PV air
- Piecewise inversion of flow suggests that cutoff upperlevel cyclone from PV streamer only piece of larger steering puzzle
 - Adding steering from upstream upper-level trough, poleward upper-level ridge, and cutoff cyclone still induces westerly heading on Joaquin closer to US coastline.
 - Role of residual planetary westerlies needs to be investigated further
 - Future work will compare this analysis to operational forecast models (i.e., GFS and ECMWF)

QUESTIONS?

Extra Slides

- **Removal of TC Joaquin vortex**
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹)



Ridge **Observations CFSRv2** Heading

- 6° at 8.5 m s⁻¹
- **Actual TC** Motion: 59° at 4.9 m s⁻¹

15° circle **Centered** on 65°W, 10°N



350 K PV (shaded, PVU), pressure (black contours, every 10 hPa), winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 200-300 hPa wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) 200-300 hPa layer mean PV (gray contours, PVU), 200-300 hPa irrotational wind (vectors, m s⁻¹), 600-400 hPa upward vertical motion (red contours, > 5 x 10^{-3} hPa s⁻¹)

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Davis et al. (2008)



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use the 350-K 2-PVU contour to isolate key upper-level features





Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Davis et al. (2008)



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use the 350-K 2-PVU contour to isolate key upper-level features
 - Test 1 Isolate steering from upstream upper-level trough





Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Davis et al. (2008)



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use the 350-K 2-PVU contour to isolate key upper-level features
 - Test 2 Isolate steering from poleward upper-level ridge





Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Davis et al. (2008)



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use the 350-K 2-PVU contour to isolate key upper-level features
- Test 3 Isolate steering from cutoff cyclone (from PV streamer)



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Davis et al. (2008)

Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use the 350-K 2-PVU contour to isolate key upper-level features
 - Test 4 Test 1 + Test 2 + Test 3 (Cumulative steering)



[PVU]

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Davis et al. (2008)



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use the 350-K 2-PVU contour to isolate key upper-level features



Trough Steering Flow

- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



Ridge Steering Flow

- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



Observations

Test 2

- CFSRv2 Steering ^{40N}
 285° at 11.1 m s⁻¹
- Actual TC
 Motion: 30
 50° at 6.2 m s⁻¹

Cutoff Steering Flow

• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



Cumulative Steering Flow

• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



Test 5

Observations

- CFSRv2 Steering ^{40N}
 108° at 10.9 m s⁻¹
- Actual TC
 Motion: 301
 50° at 6.2 m s⁻¹









Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau et al. (2013)

Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0º
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin
 - Test 1 Isolate steering from upstream upper-level trough



-2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2

-4

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau et al. (2013)



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin
 - Test 2 Isolate steering from poleward upper-level ridge



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau et al. (2013)



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin
 - Test 3 Isolate steering from cutoff cyclone (from PV streamer)



Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau et al. (2013)

Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin

Test 4 • Test 1 + Test 2 + Test 3 (Cumulative steering)



-2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2

-4 -3

Used to quantify what impact different synoptic features have on

TC Joaquin track

- 850 200-hPa flow inverted (captures steering level for TC Joaquin)
- Adapted from Galarneau et al. (2013)

Inverted vorticity and divergence used to obtain nondivergent and irrotational winds



- Remove TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°
- Use PV anomalies (+/- 0.5 PVU) to diagnosis uppertropospheric features related to steering of Joaquin

Test 5 • Total Steering - Test 1 + Test 2 + Test 3 (residual)



- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



- Removal of TC Joaquin vortex
- r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

Test 2

Actual TC

Motion:

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors $(arrows, m s^{-1}))$



• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



• Removal of TC Joaquin vortex

• r_{Joaquin}≥ 3.0°

850 – 200-hPa layer mean wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) and wind vectors (arrows, m s⁻¹))



Test 5

Observations

- CFSRv2 Steering ⁴⁰⁰
 113° at 9.3 m s⁻¹
- Actual TC
 Motion: 30
 50° at 6.2 m s⁻¹



350 K PV (shaded, PVU), pressure (black contours, every 10 hPa), winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 200-300 hPa wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) 200-300 hPa layer mean PV (gray contours, PVU), 200-300 hPa irrotational wind (vectors, m s⁻¹), 600-400 hPa upward vertical motion (red contours, > 5 x 10^{-3} hPa s⁻¹)



350 K PV (shaded, PVU), pressure (black contours, every 10 hPa), winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 200-300 hPa wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) 200-300 hPa layer mean PV (gray contours, PVU), 200-300 hPa irrotational wind (vectors, m s⁻¹), 600-400 hPa upward vertical motion (red contours, > 5 x 10^{-3} hPa s⁻¹)



350 K PV (shaded, PVU), pressure (black contours, every 10 hPa), winds (barbs, kt)

Precipitable water (shaded, mm), 200-300 hPa wind magnitude (shaded, m s⁻¹) 200-300 hPa layer mean PV (gray contours, PVU), 200-300 hPa irrotational wind (vectors, m s⁻¹), 600-400 hPa upward vertical motion (red contours, > 5 x 10^{-3} hPa s⁻¹)