1 March 2022 Thunderstorm cumulus ensemble exploration 2 ATM 419/563 Spring 2023 - Fovell 3 _____ 4 • SETUP 5 Move to \$LAB/TSTORM 6 • Launch GrADS 7 • open cu ensemble.ctl 8 9 • We have 21 ensemble members – look at a subset of them 10 • contours.gs: total cumulus RAINC red, total microphysics RAINNC black 11 • Both contour intervals 3 mm; hit return after microphysics precip plots 12 • Only part of domain is shown. Accumulations through last time shown. 13 14 • KF (member 1): one of several Kain-Fritsch [KF] schemes in WRF 15 • These are "mass flux" schemes with CAPE triggers 16 • A lot of the cumulus precip associated with orographic lifting 17 A lot of the microphysics precip associated with eastward propagating line 18 19 set e 1 20 [plots microphysics precip; hit return to plot cumulus precip] contours.gs 21 22 • Help differentiate cumulus from microphysics precip a little more 23 С 24 set cint 3 25 d rainc 26 27 С 28 set cint 3 29 d rainnc 30 31 • BMJ - a lot less cumulus precipitation than KF scheme 32 • A very different kind of cumulus scheme 33 set e 2 34 contours.gs 35 36 Tiedtke - related to KF but produces even less cumulus precip 37 set e 5 38 contours.gs 39 40 • New Tiedtke – looks more like some of the other schemes now 41 set e 9 42 contours.gs 43 44

```
45
      • KSAS - allowed very little microphysics precip
46

    One of several Simplified Arakawa Schubert [SAS] schemes in WRF

47
      • Based on idea CAPE is destroyed as it is created
48
      set e 8
49
      contours.gs
50
51

    NSAS - another SAS scheme - also allowed little microphysics precip

52
      • [The Grell schemes (members 3,4,10) are also related to the SAS schemes]
53
      set e 13
54
      contours.gs
55
56
      • Look at KF again
57
      set e 1
58
      contours.gs
59
60

    MS-KF - a modified KF, produces very little cumulus rain over ADK, but more

61

    microphysics precip

62
      set e 7
63
      contours.gs
64
65
      • compare cumulus precip for MS-KF and KF
66
      С
67
      set cint 3
68
      d rainc(e=7)
69
70

    pause and look at magnitudes of cumulus precip

71
      set cint 3
72
      d rainc(e=1)
73
74
      • now compare microphysics precip for MS-KF and KF - more for MS-KF in ADK
75
      С
76
      set cint 3
77
      d rainnc(e=7)
78
      set cint 3
79
      d rainnc(e=1)
80
81
      • now total precip for MS-KF and KF - TOTAL precip more similar – divided it differently
82
      С
83
      set cint 5
84
      d rainc(e=7)+rainnc(e=7)
85

    pause and look

      set cint 5
86
87
      d rainc(e=1)+rainnc(e=1)
88
```

89	 Members 15 and 16 are KF versions with differing trigger functions 	
90	 Member 15 (trigger=2) produces less cumulus rain in ADK than standard version 	
91	C	
92	d rainc(e=15)-rainc(e=1)	
93		
94	 Member 16 (trigger=3) more cumulus rain in Pennsylvania than standard version 	
95	c	
96	d rainc(e=16)-rainc(e=1)	
97		
98	 Compare run w/ cumulus and NO MP to run with MP and NO cumulus 	
99	С	
100	set e 18	[no MP]
101	set cint 5	
102	d rainc	
103		
104	 look before proceeding 	
105	С	
106	set e 17	[no CUMULUS]
107	set cint 5	
108	d rainnc	
109		
110	 Two-domain run with no cumulus in outer domain. Viewing precip in D1. 	
111	С	
112	set e 20	
113	set cint 5	
114	d rainnc	
115		
116	 Two-domain run with KF cumulus in outer domain. Viewing precip in D1. 	
117	С	
118	set e 21	
119	set cint 5	
120	d rainnc	
121		
122	• Execute plot_precip.gs script	
123	plot_precip.gs	
124		
125	>> Plots time series of area integrated cumulus, microphysics, and total precip	
126	>> Tiedtke, MS-KF: very little cumulus precip. No MP member: huge cumulus precip.	
127	>> 2nd plot: "TRUTH" = member 21 (KF in D1, off in D2)	
128	>> 3rd plot,	total precip. "TRUTH" in the middle, no MP very large total precip
129		