

STRUCTURAL STUDIES
IN THE NORTHERN CHESTER DOME
OF EAST - CENTRAL VERMONT

by

Bruce Wallace Nisbet

A Dissertation
Submitted to the State University of New York at Albany

In Partial Fulfillment of
the Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

College of Arts and Sciences
Department of Geological Sciences

1976

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
CHAPTER 1.	
INTRODUCTION.....	1
I - Regional Setting.....	1
II - Previous Work.....	3
1. Stratigraphy.....	3
A - Stratigraphy in the Eastern Vermont Sequence.....	3
Precambrian Rocks.....	5
Palaeozoic Rocks.....	6
B - Stratigraphy in the Chester Dome.....	14
Precambrian Rocks.....	14
Palaeozoic Rocks.....	16
2. Dating the Eastern Vermont Sequence.....	18
A - Palaeontology.....	18
B - Radiometric Dates.....	18
3. Structure.....	20
A - Chester Dome.....	22
B - Sadawga, Ray Pond and Guildford Domes.....	25
C - Strafford Dome.....	29
D - Recent Work in the Chester Dome.....	31
4. Discussion.....	33
A - Original Nature of Rocks.....	34
B - Recognition of Younging Criteria.....	35
C - Estimation of Original Thickness of Units.....	35
D - Recognition of Thrust Faults.....	36
E - Recognition of Unconformities.....	36
5. Conclusion.....	37

CHAPTER 2.

PROBLEMS AND METHODS.....	38
I - Choice of Area.....	38
Description of Area.....	39
II - Choice of Base Map.....	41
III - Methods.....	41
A - Fold Asymmetry.....	41
B - Secondary Layering.....	42

CHAPTER 3.

LITHOLOGIES.....	44
I - Quartzofeldspathic Gneisses.....	44
A - Composition and Abundance.....	44
B - Description of Minerals.....	45
Quartz.....	45
Plagioclase.....	45
Microcline.....	47
Mica.....	47
Epidote.....	48
Sphene.....	48
Garnet.....	48
Opagues.....	48
II - Garnet-Chlorite-Schistose-Quartzofeldspathic Gneisses.....	49
A - Composition and Abundance.....	49
B - Description of Minerals.....	50
Plagioclase.....	50
Mica.....	51
Chlorite.....	51
Garnet.....	52
Microcline.....	52
Epidote.....	52
Opagues.....	52

III - Amphibolites.....	53
A - Composition and Abundance.....	53
B - Description of Minerals.....	55
Essential Minerals.....	55
Varietal Minerals.....	55
Accessory Minerals.....	55
Poikiloblasts, Glomerocrysts, Porphyroblasts.	56
IV - Quartzites.....	56
V - Diabase.....	58
VI - Hornblendite.....	58
VII - Coarse -grained Material.....	59

CHAPTER 4.

MESOSCOPIC STRUCTURE.....	61
I - Folds.....	61
A - Style Groups.....	61
Gneisses and Quartzites.....	61
Amphibolites.....	69
B - Definition of Fold Generations.....	72
Gneissic Rocks.....	72
Amphibolite.....	77
II - Transposition.....	77
III - Foliations.....	85
A - Schistosity.....	85
Quartzofeldspathic Gneisses.....	85
Garnet-Chlorite-Schistose-Quartzofeldspathic Gneisses.....	91
Amphibolites.....	94
Quartzites.....	94
B - Layering.....	96
Secondary Layering from Keyes Mountain.....	98
Origin of Layering in the Area.....	105

IV - Lineations.....	107
V - Boudinage.....	112
VI - Low Angle Dislocations.....	112

CHAPTER 5.

MACROSCOPIC STRUCTURE.....	116
I - Distribution of Lithologies.....	116
Unit 1.....	116
Unit 2.....	117
Unit 3.....	117
Unit 4.....	118
Unit 5.....	118
II - Macroscopic Folding.....	119
A - Late Folds.....	119
Mesoscopic B_3 Fold Orientation and Asymmetry	
Data.....	122
B - Early Folds.....	128
Orientation Data.....	128
Asymmetry Data.....	137
III - Transposition.....	137
IV - Structural Characteristics of the Units.....	138
Unit 1.....	138
Unit 2.....	139
Unit 3.....	139
Unit 4.....	140
Unit 5.....	141
V - Interpretation of Structure.....	141
A - Early Folds.....	141
B - Late Folds.....	142
Correlation of Mesoscopic and Macroscopic	
B_3 Folds.....	143
C - Evidence for a Large Scale Dislocation Zone..	143

VI - Relationship of Mineral Growth to Deformation....	145
A - Late Folds.....	145
Quartz.....	145
Albite.....	146
Amphibole, Epidote.....	146
Micas.....	146
B - Early Folds.....	146
Quartz.....	146
Albite.....	147
Biotite, Muscovite.....	147
Amphibole, Epidote.....	147
Garnet.....	147
Chlorite.....	148
VII - Deformational History.....	148

CHAPTER 6.

REGIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF STUDY.....	151
I - Structural Geology.....	151
II - Stratigraphy.....	153

REFERENCES CITED.....	159
-----------------------	-----

APPENDIX.....	165
---------------	-----

Plates I-V in pocket.

ABSTRACT

Rocks in the Eastern Vermont Sequence are highly metamorphosed and are said to range in age from Precambrian to Siluro-Devonian. The sequence outcrops on Keyes Mountain, about 6km Northwest of Felchville, Vermont, and an area on this mountain about 2km square was mapped in great detail.

The dominant rock type found is quartzofeldspathic gneiss, but schistose gneiss, amphibolite, quartzite and schist also occur. Most of these rocks are layered but layering has been transposed in many places and much of it is probably secondary. Layering and schistosity both dip dominantly to the north at about 25°.

A number of mesoscopic structures can be mapped, including folds, schistosity, lineations, boudinage and low-angle dislocations.

Folds can be divided into three style groups :

- S. G. 1 : tight to isoclinal folds in layering with no axial-surface foliation.

- S. G. 2 : open to isoclinal folds in layering and schistosity with weakly to strongly developed axial-surface foliation.

- S. G. 3 : open to tight folds in layering and schistosity with no axial-surface foliation. Axial surfaces are usually at a high angle to foliation.

On the basis of overprinting relationships, at least three generations of folds exist. First and second generations cannot be separated by style and are referred to as "early folds" ; most early folds are isoclinal. The

third generation includes all S. G. 3 folds, although it is possible that more than one generation is present in S. G. 3. They are therefore referred to as "late folds".

Mesoscopic late folds plunge dominantly at 25° to 030° , their axial surfaces dip steeply to the west. A large, open macroscopic late fold occupies the whole area and is probably similarly oriented to mesoscopic late folds. Mesoscopic early fold axes are redistributed on a partial small circle by late folding but are inferred to have had a strong preferred orientation in the foliation plane before this event.

Evidence offered by previous workers for the interpretation of the Chester and other domes in Eastern Vermont as "Christmas Tree" folds is considered to be inadequate. An alternative model involving refolding of early folds by doubly-plunging late folds is favoured.

Five units with boundaries parallel to schistosity were delineated, each with distinctive lithologic and structural characteristics. The structurally "highest" and "lowest" both fit published descriptions of "basement." The central three units are characterised structurally by widespread transposition, intensely developed schistosity, and an abundance of low angle dislocations, boudinage and isoclinal folds. They are interpreted as a dislocation zone across which large relative displacements may have occurred.

The stratigraphic formations mapped by previous workers could not be recognized in this area ; all unit boundaries are thought to be structural ones and each unit is probably a structural rather than a stratigraphic entity. It is possible that this is true of other "stratigraphic" formations and boundaries in the Eastern Vermont Sequence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Drs. W. D. Means and P. F. Williams who provided inspiration and help all through the study and who unselfishly sacrificed time and effort whenever aide was asked of them. Mr. W.J. Gregg is also sincerely acknowledged for his assistance, companionship and ideas both in the field and laboratory.

I am indebted to Dr. J. F. Dewey, Dr. B.E. Hobbs, Mr. J. Karson, Dr. W. S. F. Kidd and Dr. G. Putman, all of whom were sources of stimulating ideas and discussion. Drs. Dewey, Kidd and Putman and Mr. Karson also read the manuscript and gave valuable suggestions and criticisms, for which I am very grateful.

Mr. M. Hoffman, Mr. P. Messina and Mme. M. Chaze are thanked for their help in a particularly odious aspect of field work, that of line surveying.

I wish to extend my appreciation to the landholders in the South Reading area, particularly Mr. C. Cleveland and the Rev. Dr. Nobes. Mr. Cleveland made available to me a 1:2400 scale surveyed map of his property lines that was very useful in my work.

In the laboratory Mr. Alton Hajeck shared his technical expertise willingly and cheerfully and could always be counted upon to solve the trickiest practical problem.

Very special thanks are due to my wife, Anne-Marie, for her understanding and support during all phases of the project. Her help in draughting, correcting and typing in the final stages of preparation of the manuscript was invaluable and is greatly appreciated.

Financial and material support from the State University of New York at Albany during 1973, 1974 and 1975 is gratefully acknowledged. The author was also privileged to receive financial aid from a Geological Society of America Penrose Research Grant in the summer of 1974.