

Using airborne measurements to evaluate HRRR forecasts of freezing drizzle aloft: results from the WINTRE-MIX field campaign

Motivation

Scenarios of supercooled large drop (SLD) icing poses a challenge for numerical weather models due to difficulties accurately predicting atmospheric and microphysical conditions (Jensen et al. 2023). The Winter Precipitation Type Research Multi-scale Experiment (WINTRE-MIX) conducted research flights onboard the National Research Council of Canada (NRC) Convair-580 aircraft to collect data to evaluate and improve numerical forecasts of precipitation type (Minder et al. 2023). A unique microphysical environment was observed by scientists during the first flight of intensive observing period 9 (IOP9) with widespread freezing drizzle (FZDZ) observed in cloud with cloud top temperatures as cold as -15°C. Leg 0, Leg 3 and Leg 8 are emphasized due to observations of icing.



Longitude (Degree E)



Longitude (Degree E) Reflectivity from ECCC radar site in Blainsville taken at average altitude for each leg. Flight path is shown in purple



Evaluate HRRR forecasts of freezing drizzle aloft to compare to observations made on board the **Convair-580 during the first flight of IOP9.**

Goal



Megan R. Schiede, Justin R. Minder University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY, USA



Timeseries were constructed for all legs of flight.

- We hypothesize that the HRRR will struggle to capture SLD formation at colder cloud top temperatures.
- compared to observations but overestimates total water content (TWC) implying the model is anticipating a greater amount of ice (IWC = TWC - LWC).
- when compared to observations.
- The HRRR generally predicts the correct category of SLD using the 500 µm Dmax threshold (Tessendorf et al. 2021), however, values of Dmax tend to deviate significantly between observations and model output.

lce accumulated on the Nevzorov probe on the wing of the Convair-580 ollowing IOP9 flight 1.

Timeseries of HRRR output for selected legs of IOP9 interpolated to aircraft position

The HRRR adequately models liquid water content (LWC)

The HRRR tends to overestimate cloud number concentration





Ongoing and Future Work

obs

ם ¹⁰⁻¹ ק מ

Conduct numerical weather simulations to explore how modifying the microphysics scheme will affect the comparison between the observations made and the HRRR output.

Jensen, A.A., C. Weeks, M. Xu, S. Landolt, A. Korolev, M. Wolde, and S. DiVito, 2023: The prediction of supercooled large drops by a microphysics and machine-learning model for the ICICLE field campaign. Wea. Forecasting, 38, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-22-0105.1. Minder, J. R., and Coauthors, 2023: P-type Processes and Predictability: The Winter Precipitation Type Research Multiscale Experiment (WINTRE-MIX). Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc, 104, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-22-0095.1. Ranjbar, K., Nichman, L. 2022. WINTRE-MIX: NRC Convair 580 Data. Version 1.0 UCAR/NCAR -Earth Observing Laboratory. https://doi.org/10.26023/57GP-V85N-780A. Accessed 25 June 2023. Tessendorf, S. A., and Coauthors, 2021: Differentiation Freezing Drizzle and Freezing Rain in HRRR Model Forecasts. Wea. Forecasting, 36, 1237–1251, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-20-0138.1.



NAW and HRRR Mixing Ratio Categories



Comparison of NAW airborne radar with mixing ratios for cloud, rain, snow, and ice categories for selected legs. Contours of simulated 0°C shown in grey (NAW) and red. NAW radar in downward mode only for Leg 3.

- Values for rain mixing ratio are significantly less than snow mixing ratio implying the HRRR is wrongfully classifying these precipitation categories. **Incorrect categorization** of precipitation leads to difficulties for the HRRR
 - to reproduce environments conducive to FZDZ formation seen in observations.

References

Contact Information

Megan Schiede, mschiede@albany.edu