# The Role of Sawyer-Eliassen Circulations in Sting Jet Formation in European Windstorms

## **Introduction & Motivation**

A sting jet is a stream of damaging winds formed by the descent of drying airstreams in violent extratropical cyclones. These winds cause billions of dollars in damage in Europe each year. Schultz and Sienkiewicz (2013) suggested that frontal-scale circulations may be key to their formation. These circulations serve to advect high momentum air from aloft to the surface.

Shapiro (1983), and Lang and Martin (2012) showed that in the presence of temperature advection aloft, the frontal-scale Sawyer-Eliassen (SE) circulations move to allow ascent or descent through the jet (see Fig. 1).

Descent through the jet stream in European cyclones may allow higher momentum air to be transported to lower levels to aid in the sting jet formation process. Thus, the aim of this study is to evaluate the validity of this claim via examination of the SE forcings. This will be done through an analysis of a sting jet case and a non-sting jet case.



Fig. 1: Schematic of a straight jet streak in the presence of (a) no temperature advection (b) cold air advection (c) warm air advection. Solid Lines are geopotential heights, Dashed lines are isotherms, and vertical motions indicated by "UP" or "DOWN". From Lang and Martin (2012).

## Methodology

- Data: 1.0 x 1.0 degree GFS data.
- <u>Circulations</u>: The SE circulation  $\psi$  is influenced by two main processes, seen below:

$$\left(-\gamma\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial p}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}\psi}{\partial y^{2}} + \left(2\frac{\partial M}{\partial p}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}\psi}{\partial y\partial p} + \left(-\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}\psi}{\partial p^{2}} = Q_{g} - \gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)$$
$$A \qquad B$$

- Where A is the geostrophic forcing, and B is the diabatic forcing. The equation was numerically solved for  $\psi$ .
- <u>Cases</u>: From Gray (2011), Cyclone Erwin produced a sting jet around **0400 UTC on 8 January 2005**. Cyclone Tilo produced strong non-sting jet winds around **0100 UTC on 8 December 2007**.

Contact: zmurphy@wisc.edu

# (a)



*indicates sting jet.* 

## **Geostrophic Forcing**



*"SJ" indicates sting jet.* 



Zachary B. Murphy, Jonathan E. Martin, University of Wisconsin-Madison **Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences** 

# **Sting Jet Case: Erwin**





Fig. 2 (a) 300 hPa isotachs (fills), 300 hPa cold-air advection (blue), 300 hPa warm-air advection (red), sea-level isobars (purple)."L" indicates low pressure center. (b) As in 2(a).

**Fig. 3 (a):** Cross-section from A-A' in Fig. 2(a). Black arrows indicate total SE circulation, subsidence (red fill), mixing ratio (blue), "J" indicates jet core. (b): As in 3(a) but along B-B' in Fig. 2 (b). "SJ"



Fig. 4 (a): Cross-section from A-A' in Fig. 2(a). Black arrows indicate circulation from geostrophic forcing, subsidence (red fill), mixing ratio (blue), "J" indicates jet core. (b): As in 3(a) but along B-B' in Fig. 2 (b).





pressure center. (b) As in 6(a).

surface winds.

## **Geostrophic Forcing**



Fig. 8 (a): Cross-section from C-C' in Fig. 5(a). Black arrows indicate circulation from geostrophic forcing, subsidence (red fill), mixing ratio (blue), "J" indicates jet core, (b) As in 8(a) but along D-D' in Fig. 6 (b). "SW" indicates strong surface winds.

### **Diabatic Forcing**



**Fig. 9 (a):** Cross-section from C-C' in Fig. 5 (a). Black arrows indicate circulation from diabatic effects, subsidence (red fill), mixing ratio (blue), heating (solid pink), cooling (dashed pink). "J" indicates jet core, (b): As in 9(a) along D-D' in Fig. 6 (b). "SW" indicates strong surface wind.



hPa warm-air advection (red), sea-level isobars (purple)."L" indicates low

Fig. 7 (a): Cross-section from C-C' in Fig. 2(a). Black arrows indicate total SE circulation, subsidence (red fill), mixing ratio (blue), "J" indicates jet core. (b): As in 7(a) but along D-D' in Fig. 6 (b). "SW" indicates strong

# **Discussion & Conclusions**

- The descending branch of the **total** circulation moves close to the jet core by the time of sting jet formation.
- Thus, from a synoptic point of view, jet-level cold air advection does appear to play a role in this phenomenon.
- The **geostrophic portion** is dominant in both cases creating subsidence that sends high momentum air downward.
- Though not as strong, the **diabatic forcing** is more noticeable in Erwin than Tilo and is positioned near the surface, with descent occurring in the cool, dry air of the dry slot. It acts to transport this high momentum air to the surface. Thus, the **diabatic forcing in** the S-E equation may be crucial to the sting jet's development and presents an additional perspective of sting jet formation. **Sources of Error**
- Data resolution may be too small to accurately capture relatively small sting jets.

# **Future Work**

- Evaluate how the SE circulations act in the presence of conditional symmetric instability (CSI).
- The geostrophic forcing will be partitioned into shearing and stretching pieces.

# **Acknowledgements**

Andrew Winters is thanked for creating the algorithm that solves the SE equation. Pete Pokrandt is thanked for his assistance. Professor Martin is thanked for his guidance. References

- Gray, S. L.; Martínez-Alvarado, O., Baker, L. H. and Clark, P. A. (2011). "Conditional symmetric instability in sting-jet storms".
- Lang, A. A., and J. E. Martin, 2012: The structure and evolution of lower stratospheric frontal zones. Part I: Examples in northwesterly and southwesterly flow.
- Schultz, David M., Joseph M. Sienkiewicz, 2013: Using Frontogenesis to Identify Sting Jets in Extratropical Cyclones.
- Shapiro, M. A., 1981: Frontogenesis and geostrophically forced secondary circulations in the vicinity of jet streamfrontal zone systems.